arXiv:1611.09582v2 [math.NT] 5 Dec 2016

A TWISTED FOURTH MOMENT OF DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS

RAPHAËL ZACHARIAS

Abstract. We evaluate some twisted fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions at the central point $s = \frac{1}{2}$ and for prime moduli q. The principal tool is a careful analysis of a shifted convolution problem involving the divisor function using spectral theory of automorphic forms and bounds for bilinear forms in Kloosterman sums. Having in mind simultaneous non vanishing results, we apply the Theorem to establish an asymptotic formula of a mollified fourth moment for this family of L-functions.

Table of contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Background 6
3. The Twisted Fourth Moment 11
4. The Off-Diagonal Term 14
5. The Off-Diagonal Main Term 28
6. The Mollified Fourth Moment 38
References 47

1. Introduction

In 2010, Young established in a breakthrough paper [You11] the following asymptotic formula for the fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions at $s = 1/2$ and for prime moduli q with a power saving error term

$$\frac{1}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{\chi \pmod q}^{*} |L(\chi, 1/2)|^4 = P(\log q) + O\left(q^{-\frac{5}{512}+\varepsilon}\right), \tag{1.1}$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and where the symbol * means that we avoid $\chi = 1$, $\phi^*(q) = q-2$ is the number of primitive characters modulo q, P is a degree four polynomial with leading coefficient $(2\pi^2)^{-1}$ and $-5/512 = (1-2\theta)1/80$ with $\theta = 7/64$ is the best known approximation towards the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture and it is due to Kim and Sarnak [K+03].

More recently, Blomer, Fouvry, Kowalski, Michel and Milićević revisited the problem in [BFK+14] by considering more general moments, namely of the form

$$M_{f,g}(q) := \frac{1}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{\chi \pmod q}^{*} L(f\otimes\chi, 1/2)\overline{L(g\otimes\chi, 1/2)},$$

where f and g can be cuspidal Hecke eigenforms (holomorphic or Maaß) or $E(z)$, the central derivative of the unique non-holomorphic Eisenstein series for the full modular group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. They obtained various asymptotic formulae depending on the nature of f, g (see Theorem 1.1,1.2,1.3,[BFK+14]). In particular, the case $f = g = E$ corresponds to (1.1) since the twisted L-function associated to E is given by

$$L(E\otimes\chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\tau(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} = L(\chi, s)^2, \quad \Re e(s) > 1,$$

where $\tau(n)$ is the divisor function. Theorem 1.1 [BFK+14] gives a significant improvement in the error term by passing to an exponent $-1/32$. They used on one hand, powerful algebraico-geometric results concerning general bilinear forms involving trace functions associated to ℓ-adic sheaves on $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ [FKM+14, KMS15]. On the other hand, they managed to almost eliminate the dependence in θ in their error bound by using an average result concerning Hecke eigenvalues (see Lemma 2.4 in [Mot97] and § 3.5 [BFK+14]). More recently, the five authors lowered the exponent to $-1/20$ in [BFK+16] using a smooth version of a Theorem of Shparlinski and Zhang (Theorem 3.1, [SZ16]) where the trace function corresponds to rank 2 Kloosterman sums.

For several applications in analytic number theory, we need to evaluate more general moments. In this paper, we focus in particular on one, called the twisted fourth moment. Let $q > 2$ be a prime number, ℓ_1, ℓ_2 be integers such that $(\ell_1, \ell_2) = 1$, $(\ell_1\ell_2, q) = 1$ and $\ell_i \leqslant L$ with $\log L \asymp \log q$; we define

$$\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1, \ell_2; q) := \frac{1}{\phi^*(q)} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \pmod q} |L(\chi, 1/2)|^4 \chi(\ell_1)\overline{\chi}(\ell_2). \tag{1.2}$$

As pointed out by Maksym Radziwill, Bob Hough in his paper on the angle of large values of L-functions [Hou15] established a formula for the same moment (see Theorem 4 and the proof is in the Appendix) by adapting the methof of M.P. Young. Our present approach is different and allows us to deal with not necessarily squarefree integers ℓ_1, ℓ_2 and this is crucial for the application to mollification and further simultaneous non vanishing results.

Our first Theorem is the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\ell_1, \ell_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ coprime, $(\ell_1\ell_2, q) = 1$ and cubefree. Then the twisted fourth moment (1.2) admits the following decomposition*

$$\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1, \ell_2; q) = \mathcal{T}^4_D(\ell_1, \ell_2; q) + \mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1, \ell_2; q) + O\left(\frac{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{3/2} L^5}{q^{\eta-\varepsilon}}\right), \tag{1.3}$$

where $\mathcal{T}^4_D(\ell_1, \ell_2; q)$, $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1, \ell_2; q)$ are main terms given respectively by (3.10), (5.39) and $\eta = 1/14 - 3\theta/7$ with $\theta = 7/64$.

The cubefree assumption is not essential but it simplifies a lot our treatment. Since the primary goal of this paper is mollification, we did not concentrate our efforts on the optimization of the power of L and on the value of η, but rather on the computation of the main terms. We hope to get results as strong as if the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture were true, especially by adapting the method of Blomer and Milićević (c.f. Theorem 13, [BM15]).

1.1. **Outline of the Proof.** In this section, we outline the proof of Theorem 1.1. Using the functional equation for $|L(\chi, 1/2)|^4$ (c.f. (2.21)), we represent the twisted central values as a convergent series

$$|L(\chi, 1/2)|^4 \chi(\ell_1)\overline{\chi}(\ell_2) = 2\sum_{n,m\geqslant 1}\sum \frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)1/2}\chi(n\ell_1)\overline{\chi}(m\ell_2) V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right),$$

for some function $V(t)$ which depends on the archimedean factor $L_\infty(\chi, s)$ and decays rapidly for $t \geqslant q^\varepsilon$. An important fact is that V depends on the character χ only through its parity. It is therefore natural to separate the average into even and odd characters. Assuming we are dealing with the even case, the orthogonality relations (c.f. (3.2)) give

$$\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1, \ell_2; q) = \frac{1}{\phi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum\sum_{\ell_1 n \equiv \pm \ell_2 m \pmod d} \frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)^{1/2}} V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right).$$

A first main term $\mathcal{T}^4_D(\ell_1, \ell_2; q)$ is extracted from the diagonal contribution $\ell_1 n = \ell_2 m$ and is computed in section 3.2. Putting this part away, applying a partition of unity, we are thus

reduced to the evaluation of the following expression

$$\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) := \frac{1}{(NM)^{1/2}\phi^*(q)}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \times \sum_{\substack{\ell_2 n\equiv \pm \ell_1 m \pmod d\\ \ell_1 n\neq \ell_2 m}}\sum \tau(n)\tau(m)W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right), \tag{1.4}$$

where $1 \leqslant M \leqslant N$ (up to switch ℓ_1 and ℓ_2), $NM \leqslant q^{2+\varepsilon}$ and W is a smooth and compactly supported function on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying $W^{(j)} \ll_j 1$. Since q is prime, the arithmetical sum over $d|q$ could be separated into two terms corresponding to $d = 1$ and $d = q$. However, as expected by the beautiful work of Young, an off-diagonal main term arises when N and M are relatively close to each other and this sum facilitates its calculation since it cancels some poles whose contributions seem to be big (c.f. § 5.2.1 and Lemma 5.1). This technical step allows us to rebuild the partition of unity and to express the second main term as a contour integral of the form

$$\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(\varepsilon)}\mathcal{F}(s,\ell_1,\ell_2;q)\frac{ds}{s},$$

for some function $\mathcal{F}(s,\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ (c.f. (5.25)). A critical feature of this term is that the q^s has disappeared, making it impossible to evaluate the integral by standard contour shift on the left. The situation is similar to that of § 4.3 in [KMV00] where they study mollification of automorphic L-functions. Fortunately, using the functional equation for the Riemann zeta function, a crucial trigonometric identity for the gamma function (c.f. (5.37)) and a careful analysis of a Dirichlet series involving the ℓ_i's variables, we show that the integrand is odd and therefore, we are able to evaluate explicitly this integral through a residue at $s = 0$ (see Lemma 5.6 and Proposition 5.7).

For the rest of this outline, we only consider the case $d = q$ in (1.4) and we put this off-diagonal main term aside by writing

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) = \frac{1}{(MN)^{1/2}}\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 n\equiv \ell_2 m \pmod q\\ \ell_1 n\neq \ell_2 m}}\sum \tau(n)\tau(m)W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) - \mathcal{OD}^{MT,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q). \tag{1.5}$$

We mention that $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}$ becomes small when $N \gg M$. More precisely, we prove in Lemma 5.5

$$\mathcal{OD}^{MT,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) \ll L^2 q^{\varepsilon}\left(\frac{M}{N}\right)^{1/2}.$$

The conclusion of Theorem 1.1 will follow as soon as we prove that

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) \ll L^s q^{-\eta}$$

for some absolute constant $\eta > 0$. By the trivial bound

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) \ll Lq^{\varepsilon}\frac{(NM)^{1/2}}{q},$$

we may assume that NM is close to $q^{2+\varepsilon}$. We will treat (1.5) by different methods depending on the relative ranges of N and M.

1.1.1. *The shifted convolution problem.* When M and N are relatively close to each other, we interpret the congruence condition $\ell_1 n \equiv \pm\ell_2 m \pmod q$ ($\ell_1 n \neq \ell_2 m$) as $\ell_1 n \mp \ell_2 m - hq = 0$ for $h \neq 0$. Hence for each $h \neq 0$, we need to analyze the shifted convolution problem for the divisor function. This problem is interesting in its own right and has a long history (see for example [Mic06] for an overview). The first thing to do is to smooth the condition $\ell_1 n \mp \ell_2 m - hq = 0$.

Blomer and Milićević in [BM15] used Jutila's variant of the circle method. This has the main advantage to have a certain degree of freedom with respect to the choice of the moduli and one can deal directly with the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(\ell_1\ell_2)$ in the trace formula. Unfortunately, this method is useless here essentially because the uniform estimate for Hecke eigenvalues of cuspidal forms (Wilton's bound) fails for the divisor function.

In the case $\ell_1 = \ell_2 = 1$, Young used an approximate functional equation for the divisor function (Lemma 5.4, [You11]) to separate the variables n and m. Adapting this technique to our case involves the choice of a lift of a multiplicative inverse $\overline{\ell_2}$ (mod q) whose location is hard to control.

Another possibility would be to use a recent method of Topacogullari [Top15], but in the end we would face similar issue .

We eventually choose to return to the classical δ-symbol method which was developed by Duke, Friedlander and Iwaniec in [DFI93, DFI94b] as a variant of the circle method. We follow closely the first steps of [DFI94a] and after an application of Voronoi summation formula, we are reduced to estimate sums of the shape (see (4.27))

$$\frac{Q^{-1}}{(MN)^{1/2}} \sum_{d_i|\ell_i} \sum_{h} \sum_{n,m} \tau(n)\tau(m) \sum_{\substack{(c,\ell_1'\ell_2')=1 \\ c\asymp Q}} \frac{S(hq, d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n - d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m; cd_1d_2)}{c} G(n,m,cd_1d_2), \tag{1.6}$$

where for any integers m, n, c, $S(n,m;c)$ is the Kloosterman sum defined by

$$S(n,m;c) = \sum_{\substack{x \pmod c \\ (x,c)=1}} e\left(\frac{nx + m\overline{x}}{c}\right),$$

Q is the parameter of the delta symbol, G is a weight function, $\ell_i' = \ell_i/d_i$ and the inverse of ℓ_1' (resp ℓ_2') have to be taken modulo cd_2 (resp cd_1). In [DFI94a], they made the choice of $Q = \sqrt{M}$ because $M = \min(N, M)$ and estimate the above sum using Weil's bound for Kloosterman sums. Applying their method to our case leads to a bound of the form

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm} \ll q^{\varepsilon} \frac{N}{M^{1/4}q},$$

but this is not sufficient when N is larger compared to M.

To get a better bound, we exploit cancellations in the Kloosterman sums using spectral theory of automorphic forms. We mention that the choice of $Q = \sqrt{M}$ is not optimal on the spectral side, so we instead chose $Q = \sqrt{N}$ in order to have a good control on higher derivatives of the Kuznetsov transform (c.f. Proposition 4.9).

We return to (1.6) and focus on the quantity

$$\sum_{(c,\ell_1'\ell_2')=1} \frac{S(hq, d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n - d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m; cd_1d_2)}{c} G(n,m,cd_1d_2).$$

At this step, we cannot apply directly the usual Kuznetsov formula because of the different inverses $\overline{\ell_1'}$, $\overline{\ell_2'}$ which are not with respect to the modulus (they are mod cd_2 (resp cd_1)) and we need first to transform the Kloosterman sum. Inspired by [Top15], we factor in a unique way $d_i = d_i^* d_i'$ with $(d_i^*, \ell_i') = 1$, $d_i' | (\ell_i')^\infty$ and use the twisted multiplicativity to obtain the factorization (we set $v := d_1'd_2'$),

$$\begin{aligned} S(hq, d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n - d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m; cd_1d_2) = {} & S(hq, \overline{v}^2(d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n - d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m); cd_1^*d_2^*) \\ & \times S(hq, \overline{(cd_1^*d_2^*)^2}(d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n - d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m); v), \end{aligned}$$

where all multiplicative inverses are this time modulo the modulus of the Kloosterman sum. We then exploit an idea of Blomer and Milićević [BM15] to separate the variable c

$$S(hq, \overline{(cd_1^*d_2^*)^2}(d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n - d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m); v) = \frac{1}{\phi(v)} \sum_{\chi(v)} \overline{\chi}(cd_1^*d_2^*) \hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi}, n, m, \ell_i, hq),$$

with

$$\hat{S}_v(\chi, n, m, \ell_i, hq) := \sum_{\substack{y(v)\\(y,v)=1}} \overline{\chi}(y) S(hq\overline{y}, (d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n - d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m)\overline{y}; v).$$

In this way we obtain sums of Kloosterman sums twisted by Dirichlet characters that we can evaluate using Kuznetsov's formula for automorphic forms with non trivial nebentypus. We finally obtain the bound

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) \ll L^s q^{\varepsilon - 1/2 + \theta} \left(\frac{N}{M}\right)^{1/2},$$

for some s and it is exactly the expected error term (modulo the power of L) according to the treatment of Young. We thus obtain the Theorem 1.1 as long as

$$\frac{N}{M} \leqslant q^{1-\theta-\eta}.$$

1.1.2. *Bilinear Forms in Kloosterman sums.* In the complementary range

$$\frac{N}{M} \geqslant q^{1-\theta-\eta},$$

we detect the congruence condition in (1.5) using additives characters (the trivial character cancels with $d = 1$) and after an application of Voronoi summation formula, we obtain sums of the shape (see (4.8))

$$\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(M, \mathcal{N}; q) = \frac{1}{(qMN^*)^{1/2}} \sum_{m \asymp M} \sum_{n \asymp \mathcal{N}} \tau(m)\tau(n) \mathrm{Kl}_2(\pm\overline{\ell}_1\ell_2 nm; q),$$

where

$$\mathcal{N} \leqslant N^* := \frac{q^2}{N},$$

and $\mathrm{Kl}_2(a; q) := q^{-1/2} S(1, a; q)$ denotes the normalized Kloosterman sum. Note that by Weil's bound $|\mathrm{Kl}_2(a; q)| \leqslant 2$, we have

$$\mathcal{S}^{\pm} \ll \frac{(MN^*)^{1/2}}{q^{1/2}} = \left(\frac{qM}{N}\right)^{1/2},$$

which is satisfactory provided $NM^{-1} \geqslant q^{1+2\eta}$. The analysis of $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(M, \mathcal{N}; q)$ in the critical range

$$q^{1-\theta-\eta} \leqslant \frac{N}{M} \leqslant q^{1+2\eta}$$

has already been done first in [BFK+14] and then, improved in [BFK+16].

1.2. **Mollification and further arithmetic applications.** In section 6, we use Theorem 1.1 to compute an asymptotic formula for a mollified fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions. More precisely, let $L = q^{\lambda}$ with $\lambda > 0$, $(a_\ell)_\ell$ a sequence of complex numbers, $P(X) \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ and χ a character modulo q. We introduce the mollifier

$$M(\chi) := \sum_{\ell \leqslant L} \frac{a_\ell \chi(\ell)}{\ell^{1/2}} P\left(\frac{\log L/\ell}{\log L}\right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{M}^4(q) := \frac{1}{\phi^*(q)} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{\chi \pmod q} |L(\chi, 1/2)|^4 |M(\chi)|^4.$$

Our second main result is the following

Theorem 1.2. *Set $a_\ell = \mu(\ell)$ and $P(X) = X^2$. Then for any $0 < \lambda < \frac{11}{8064}$, we have the asymptotic formula*

$$\mathcal{M}^4(q) = \sum_{i=0}^{4} a_i \lambda^{-i} + O_\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\log q}\right), \tag{1.7}$$

for some computable coefficients $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$.

In the sequel of this paper and in the same spirit of [KMV00], we will use Theorem 1.2 in conjunction with an asymptotic formula for some cubic moment to prove that for a positive proportion of Dirichlet characters $\chi \pmod q$, the triple product $L(\chi, \frac{1}{2})L(\chi\chi_1, \frac{1}{2})L(\chi\chi_2, \frac{1}{2})$ is not zero for any χ_1, χ_2 modulo q.

1.3. **Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank my supervisor Philippe Michel for our many discussions and appointments, and Ramon Nunes for its valuable advices. I also point out the nice work of Berke Topacogullari [Top15] which inspired me a lot. Finally, I am particulary grateful to Maksym Radziwill to have mentionned the paper of Bob Hough.

1.4. **Notations and conventions.** In this paper, we use the ε-convention, according to which $\varepsilon > 0$ is an arbitrarily small positive number whose value may change from line to line. Moreover, although it will not always be specified, most of the implied constants in $\ll$ will depend on such ε.

2. Background

2.1. **Bessel Functions and Voronoi Summation Formula.** We collect here some facts about Bessel functions and their integral transforms. Let $\phi : [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function satisfying $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$ and $\phi^{(i)} \ll_i (1+x)^{-3}$ for all $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$. For $\kappa \in \{0, 1\}$, we define the following three integral transforms (the signification of κ will be clear in section 2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\phi}(k) &:= 4i^k \int_0^\infty \phi(x) J_{k-1}(x) \frac{dx}{x}, \\ \widehat{\phi}(t) &:= \frac{2\pi i t^\kappa}{\sinh(\pi t)} \int_0^\infty (J_{2it}(x) - (-1)^\kappa J_{-2it})\phi(x) \frac{dx}{x}, \\ \check{\phi}(t) &:= 8i^{-\kappa} \int_0^\infty f(x) \cosh(\pi t) K_{2it}(x) \frac{dx}{x}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Here are some useful estimates concerning the above Bessel transforms.

Lemma 2.1. *Let ϕ be a smooth and compactly supported function in $(X, 2X)$ satisfying*

$$\phi^{(i)} \ll_i X^{-i}$$

for any $i \geqslant 0$. Then for all $t \geqslant 0$ and real $k > 1$, we have

$$\frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)}{(1+t)^\kappa}, \check{\phi}(t), \dot{\phi}(t) \ll \frac{1 + |\log X|}{1+X}, \quad t \geqslant 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$\frac{\widehat{\phi}(t)}{(1+t)^\kappa}, \check{\phi}(t), \dot{\phi}(t) \ll_k \left(\frac{1}{t}\right)^k \left(\frac{1}{t^{1/2}} + \frac{X}{t}\right), \quad t \geqslant \max(2X, 1), \tag{2.3}$$

where all implied constants are absolute.

Proof. The case $\kappa = 0$ is covered in [BHMM07], Lemma 2.1. The proof carries over to the case $\kappa = 1$ with minimal changes. □

We give now a version of the Voronoi summation formula for the divisor function (c.f. Theorem 1.7, [Jut87]).

Proposition 2.2. *Let g be a smooth and compactly supported function in $\mathbb{R}^+$ and $(d,\ell)=1$. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\tau(n)e\left(\frac{dn}{\ell}\right)g(n)=\frac{1}{\ell}\int_0^{\infty}(\log x+2\gamma-2\log\ell)g(x)dx + \sum_{\pm}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\tau(n)e\left(\frac{\pm\overline{d}n}{\ell}\right)g^{\pm}(n),$$

where $\overline{d}$ denotes the inverse modulo ℓ,

$$g^+(y):=\frac{4}{\ell}\int_0^{\infty}g(x)K_0\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{xy}}{\ell}\right)dx,$$
$$g^-(y):=-\frac{2\pi}{\ell}\int_0^{\infty}g(x)Y_0\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{xy}}{\ell}\right)dx,$$

and K_0, Y_0 are the Bessel functions.

2.2. **Bilinear Forms in Kloosterman Sums.** In this section, we give some results concerning bilinear forms involving Kloosterman sums. More precisely, for q a prime number, $a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=(\alpha_m)_m$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}=(\beta_n)_n$ two arbitrary sequences of complex numbers supported in $m\in\mathcal{M}$, $n\in\mathcal{N}$ where $\mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{M}$ are intervals contained in $[1,q]$, we consider sums of the shape

$$B(\mathrm{Kl}_2,a,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta}):=\sum_m\sum_n\alpha_m\beta_n\mathrm{Kl}_2(anm;q). \tag{2.4}$$

We know from the Weil's bound that $|\mathrm{Kl}_2(a;q)|\leqslant 2$. The following Theorem provides upper bounds for (2.4) depending on the size of $\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{M}$. The deep ingredients used in this theorem are the fact that Kloosterman sums comes from ℓ-adic sheaves on the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{F}_q}$ together with Deligne's generalization of the Riemann hypothesis over finite fields (see for instance [Kat88] for Kloosterman sheaves and [FK13] for the Weil conjectures).

Theorem 2.3. *Let q be a prime number, $a\in\mathbb{F}_q^*$, $M,N\geqslant 1$, $\mathcal{N}$ an interval of length N, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=(\alpha_m)_m$, $\boldsymbol{\beta}=(\beta_n)_n$ two sequences supported respectively in $[1,M]$ and $\mathcal{N}$. Then for any $\varepsilon>0$, we have*

1) *If $M,N\leqslant q$ and $N\geqslant q^{1/2}\log q$,*

$$B(\mathrm{Kl}_2,a,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\boldsymbol{\beta})\ll(qMN)^{\varepsilon}(MN)^{1/2}||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_2||\boldsymbol{\beta}||_2\left(M^{-1/2}+q^{1/4}N^{-1/2}\right). \tag{2.5}$$

2) *If the conditions*

$$N,M\leqslant q\quad,\quad MN\leqslant q^{3/2}\quad,\quad M\leqslant N^2, \tag{2.6}$$

are satisfied, then

$$B(\mathrm{Kl}_2,a,\boldsymbol{\alpha},\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{N}})\ll(qMN)^{\varepsilon}\left(||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_1||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_2\right)^{1/2}M^{1/4}N\left(q^{1/4}M^{-1/6}N^{-5/12}\right). \tag{2.7}$$

3) *Let W_i, $i=1,2$ be smooth and compactly supported functions satisfying*

$$W_i^{(j)}\ll_j Q^j,\quad i=1,2 \tag{2.8}$$

for some $Q\geqslant 1$ and for all $j\geqslant 0$. Then

$$\sum_m\sum_n W_1\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)W_2\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)\mathrm{Kl}_2(amn;q)\ll q^{\varepsilon}Q^{2+\varepsilon}\left(q^{1/2}+\frac{MN}{q^{1/2}}\right). \tag{2.9}$$

All bounds are uniform in a and the implied constants depend only on ε and the Sobolev norms of W_i and we note as usual

$$||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_1=\sum_m|\alpha_m|\quad,\quad||\boldsymbol{\alpha}||_2=\left(\sum_m|\alpha_m|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. The first part (2.5) was proved by Fouvry, Kowalski and Michel (Theorem 1.17 in [FKM+14]). The second part is Theorem 1.3 in [KMS15] and the last one is Proposition 1.2 in [BFK+16]. □

2.3. **Preliminaries on Automorphic Forms.** In this section, we briefly compile the main results from the theory of automorphic forms which we shall need in section 4.2. Among these are Hecke eigenbases, multiplicative properties of Hecke eigenvalues, the Kuznetsov trace formula and the spectral large sieve inequality. An exhaustive account of the theory can be found in [Iwa02] and [Iwa97] from which we borrow much of the notations. We can also find a good summary in [BHM07].

2.3.1. *Hecke eigenbases.* Let $\ell \geqslant 1$ be an integer, χ a Dirichlet character of modulus ℓ, $\kappa = \frac{1-\chi(-1)}{2} \in \{0,1\}$ and $k \geqslant 2$ satisfying $k \equiv \kappa \pmod 2$. We denote by $\mathcal{S}_k(\ell,\chi)$, $\mathcal{L}^2(\ell,\chi)$ and $\mathcal{L}^2_0(\ell,\chi) \subset \mathcal{L}^2(\ell,\chi)$, respectively, the Hilbert spaces (with respect to the Petersson inner product) of holomorphic cusp forms of weight k, of Maaß forms of weight κ, of Maaß cusp forms of weight κ, with respect to the Hecke congruence group $\Gamma_0(\ell)$ and with nebentypus χ. These spaces are endowed with an action of the commutative algebra $\mathbf{T}$ generated by the Hecke operators $\{T_n \mid n \geqslant 1\}$. Among these, the T_n with $(n,\ell)=1$ generate a subalgebra $\mathbf{T}^{(\ell)}$ of $\mathbf{T}$ made of normal operators. As an immediate consequence, the spaces $\mathcal{S}_k(\ell,\chi)$ and $\mathcal{L}^2_0(\ell,\chi)$ have an orthonormal basis made of eigenforms of $\mathbf{T}^{(\ell)}$. We denote this basis respectively by $\mathcal{B}_k(\ell,\chi)$ and $\mathcal{B}(\ell,\chi)$.

The orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{L}^2_0(\ell,\chi)$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(\ell,\chi)$ is the Eisenstein spectrum (plus a constant if the character is trivial) and it's denoted by $\mathcal{E}(\ell,\chi)$. The space $\mathcal{E}(\ell,\chi)$ is continuously spanned by the Eisenstein series $E_{\mathfrak{a}}(\cdot,1/2+it)$ where $\mathfrak{a}$ runs over singular cusps (with respect to χ) of $\Gamma_0(\ell)$. Such a basis has the advantage to be explicit. On the other hand, it will be usefull for us to employ another Eisenstein basis made of Hecke eigenforms. The adelic reformulation of the theory of modular forms provides a natural spectral expansion of the Eisenstein spectrum in which the basis of Eisenstein series is indexed by a set of parameters of the form

$$\{(\chi_1,\chi_2,f) \mid \chi_1\chi_2=\chi,\ f \in \mathcal{B}(\chi_1,\chi_2)\}, \tag{2.10}$$

where (χ_1,χ_2) ranges over the pairs of characters of modulus ℓ such that $\chi_1\chi_2=\chi$ and $\mathcal{B}(\chi_1,\chi_2)$ is some finite set depending on (χ_1,χ_2). We do not need to be more explicit here and we refer to [GJ79] for a precise definition of these parameters. The main advantage of such a basis is that the Eisenstein series are eigenforms of the Hecke operators T_n with $(n,\ell)=1$: we have

$$T_n E_{\chi_1,\chi_2,f}(z,1/2+it) = \lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,t) E_{\chi_1,\chi_2,f}(z,1/2+it),$$

with

$$\lambda_{\chi_1,\chi_2}(n,t) := \sum_{ab=n} \chi_1(a) a^{it} \chi_2(b) b^{-it}. \tag{2.11}$$

2.3.2. *Hecke eigenvalues, Fourier coefficients and boundedness properties.* Let f be a Hecke eigenform with eigenvalues $\lambda_f(n)$ for all $(n,\ell)=1$. We write the Fourier expansion of f at a singular cusp $\mathfrak{a}$ as follows ($z=x+iy$) :

$$f_{|_k\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}(z) = \sum_{n\geqslant 1} \rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(n)(4\pi n)^{k/2} e(nz) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{B}_k(\ell,\chi),$$

$$f_{|_\kappa\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}(z) = \sum_{n\neq 0} \rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(n) W_{\frac{n}{|n|}\frac{\kappa}{2} it_f}(4\pi|n|y) e(nx) \quad \text{for } f \in \mathcal{B}(\ell,\chi),$$

where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the scaling matrix of $\mathfrak{a}$, i.e. $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is such that $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}\infty = \mathfrak{a}$ and $\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\Gamma_0(\ell)_{\mathfrak{a}}\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}} = B := \{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1 & b\\ 0 & 1\end{smallmatrix}\right) : b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ where $\Gamma_0(\ell)_{\mathfrak{a}}$ denotes the isotropy subgroup of $\mathfrak{a}$, $W_{\frac{n}{|n|}\frac{\kappa}{2} it_f}$ is the Wittaker function and t_f is the spectral parameter of f. i.e. $\lambda_f = 1/4 + t_f^2$ with λ_f the

eigenvalue for the hyperbolic Laplace operator. For any $\gamma = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, the two slash operators $|^k\gamma$ and $|_\kappa\gamma$ of weights k and κ are defined by

$$f_{|^k\gamma}(z) := (cz+d)^{-k} f(\gamma z) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{|_\kappa\gamma}(z) := \left(\frac{cz+d}{|cz+d|}\right)^{-\kappa} f(\gamma z).$$

For an Eisenstein series $E_{\chi_1,\chi_2,f}(z, 1/2+it)$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\chi_1,\chi_2,f|_\kappa\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}}(z,1/2+it) &= c_{1,f,\mathfrak{a}}(t) y^{\frac{1}{2}+it} + c_{2,f,\mathfrak{a}} y^{\frac{1}{2}-it} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n\neq 0} \rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(n,t) W_{\frac{n}{|n|}\frac{\kappa}{2}, it_f}(4\pi|n|y) e(nx). \end{aligned}$$

When we are at the usual cusp $\mathfrak{a} = \infty$, there is a close relation between the Fourier coefficients and the Hecke eigenvalues : for $(m,\ell) = 1$ and $n \geqslant 1$, one has

$$\lambda_f(m)\sqrt{n}\rho_f(n) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \sqrt{\frac{mn}{d^2}} \rho_f\left(\frac{mn}{d^2}\right). \tag{2.12}$$

Using Möbius inversion on (2.12), we obtain for $(m,\ell) = 1$ and all $n \geqslant 1$

$$\sqrt{mn}\rho_f(mn) = \sum_{d|(m,n)} \mu(d) \rho_f\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \sqrt{\frac{n}{d}} \lambda_f\left(\frac{m}{d}\right). \tag{2.13}$$

We now recall the boundedness result for the Hecke eigenvalues. When f is either a holomorphic cusp form or an Eisentein series, one has

$$|\lambda_f(n)| \leqslant \tau(n). \tag{2.14}$$

The result is clear for an Eisenstein serie by (2.11). In the holomorphic setting, it is due to Deligne and Serre ([Del71], [DS74]). Finally, if f is a Maaß cusp form, the best approximation toward the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture for GL_2 over $\mathbb{Q}$ is due to Kim and Sarnak [K+03]

$$|\lambda_f(n)| \leqslant \tau(n) n^\theta, \quad \theta = \frac{7}{64}. \tag{2.15}$$

We also have a similar bound for the spectral parameter

$$|\Im m(t_f)| \leqslant \theta. \tag{2.16}$$

2.3.3. *Kuznetsov formula and the spectral large sieve inequality.* Let $\phi : [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{C}$ be a smooth function satisfying $\phi(0) = \phi'(0) = 0$ and $\phi^{(j)}(x) \ll (1+x)^{-3}$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant 3$. Recall the three integrals transform given by (2.1). Then with the already established notations, the following spectral sum formula holds (see [BHM07] for this version with this special Eisenstein basis).

Proposition 2.4 (Kuznetsov trace formula)**.** *Let ϕ be as in the previous paragraph, $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$ two singular cusps for the congruence group $\Gamma_0(\ell)$ and $a, b > 0$ be integers. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\gamma}^{\Gamma_0(\ell)} \frac{1}{\gamma} S^{\chi}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(a,b;\gamma)\phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{ab}}{\gamma}\right) &= \sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 2 \\ k\equiv\kappa\ (2)}} \sum_{f\in\mathcal{B}_k(\ell,\chi)} \dot{\phi}(k)\Gamma(k)\sqrt{ab}\overline{\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}}(a)\rho_{f,\mathfrak{b}}(b) \\ &\quad + \sum_{f\in\mathcal{B}(\ell,\chi)} \hat{\phi}(t_f)\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\cosh(\pi t_f)}\overline{\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}}(a)\rho_{f,\mathfrak{b}}(b) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{\chi_1\chi_2=\chi}\sum_{f\in\mathcal{B}(\chi_1,\chi_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(t)\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\cosh(\pi t)}\overline{\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}}(a,t)\rho_{f,\mathfrak{b}}(b,t)dt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\sum_{\gamma}^{\Gamma_0(\ell)} \frac{1}{\gamma} S^{\chi}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(a,-b;\gamma)\phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{ab}}{\gamma}\right) = \sum_{f\in\mathcal{B}(\ell,\chi)} \check{\phi}(t_f)\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\cosh(\pi t_f)}\overline{\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}}(a)\rho_{f,\mathfrak{b}}(-b) + \frac{1}{4\pi}\sum_{\substack{\chi_1\chi_2=\chi \\ f\in\mathcal{B}(\chi_1,\chi_2)}}\sum \int_{\mathbb{R}} \check{\phi}(t)\frac{\sqrt{ab}}{\cosh(\pi t)}\overline{\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}}(a,t)\rho_{f,\mathfrak{b}}(-b,t)dt, \tag{2.18}$$

where $S^{\chi}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n,m;\gamma)$ is the generalized twisted Kloosterman sum and it is defined by

$$S^{\chi}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n,m;\gamma) := \sum_{\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{smallmatrix}\right)\in B\backslash\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}\Gamma_0(\ell)\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}/B} \overline{\chi}\left(\sigma_{\mathfrak{a}}\begin{pmatrix}\alpha & \beta\\ \gamma & \delta\end{pmatrix}\sigma_{\mathfrak{b}}^{-1}\right) e\left(\frac{n\alpha+m\delta}{\gamma}\right).$$

The notation $\sum_{\gamma}^{\Gamma_0(\ell)}$ means that we sum over all positive γ such that $S^{\chi}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}}(n,m;\gamma)$ is not empty.

Often the Kuznetsov formula is used hand in hand with the spectral large sieve inequalities. Before stating the result, we denote by ℓ_0 the conductor of χ and we also recall that each cusp for $\Gamma_0(\ell)$ (not necessarily singular) is equivalent to a fraction of the form u/v, where $v\geqslant 1$, $v|\ell$ and $(v,u)=1$. We define the following quantity :

$$\mu(\mathfrak{a}) := \ell^{-1}\left(v,\frac{\ell}{v}\right). \tag{2.19}$$

Furthermore, if (a_n) is a sequence a complex numbers, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
||a_n||_N^2 &:= \sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N}|a_n|^2,\\
\Sigma^{(\mathrm{H})}(k,f,N) &:= \sqrt{(k-1)!}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N} a_n\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(n)\sqrt{n},\\
\Sigma^{(\mathrm{M})}_{\pm}(f,N) &:= \frac{(1+|t_f|)^{\pm\frac{\kappa}{2}}}{\sqrt{\cosh(\pi t_f)}}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N} a_n\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(\pm n)\sqrt{n},\\
\Sigma^{(\mathrm{E})}_{\pm}(f,t,N) &:= \frac{(1+|t|)^{\pm\frac{\kappa}{2}}}{\sqrt{\cosh(\pi t)}}\sum_{N<n\leqslant 2N} a_n\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(\pm n,t)\sqrt{n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then the following bounds are known as the spectral large sieve inequalities.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $T\geqslant 1$ and $N\geqslant 1/2$ be real numbers, (a_n) a sequence of complex numbers and $\mathfrak{a}$ a singular cusp for the group $\Gamma_0(\ell)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{2\leqslant k\leqslant T\\ k\equiv\kappa\ (2)}}\sum_{f\in\mathcal{B}_k(\ell,\chi)}\left|\Sigma^{(\mathrm{H})}(k,f,N)\right|^2 &\ll \left(T^2+\ell_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu(\mathfrak{a})N^{1+\varepsilon}\right)||a_n||_N^2,\\
\sum_{|t_f|\leqslant T}\left|\Sigma^{(\mathrm{M})}_{\pm}(f,N)\right|^2 &\ll \left(T^2+\ell_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu(\mathfrak{a})N^{1+\varepsilon}\right)||a_n||_N^2,\\
\sum_{\substack{\chi_1\chi_2=\chi\\ f\in\mathcal{B}(\chi_1,\chi_2)}}\int_{-T}^{T}\left|\Sigma^{(\mathrm{E})}_{\pm}(f,t,N)\right|^2 dt &\ll \left(T^2+\ell_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\mu(\mathfrak{a})N^{1+\varepsilon}\right)||a_n||_N^2,
\end{aligned}$$

with all implied constants depending only on ε.

Proof. We refer to [Dra15]. □

2.3.4. *Functional equation for Dirichlet L-functions.* Let χ be a non-principal Dirichlet character of modulus $q > 2$ with q prime, $\kappa \in \{0, 1\}$ satisfying $\chi(-1) = (-1)^\kappa$ and define

$$\Lambda(\chi, s) := q^{s/2} L_\infty(\chi, s) L(\chi, s),$$

where

$$L_\infty(\chi, s) := \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\kappa}{2}\right). \tag{2.20}$$

We know that $\Lambda(\chi, s)$ admits an analytic continuation to the whole complex plane and satisfies a functional equation (c.f. Theorem 4.15, [IK04]) from which we can deduce the following relation on the square $\Lambda^2(\chi, s)$:

$$\Lambda^2(\chi, s) = \chi(-1)\varepsilon_\chi^2 \Lambda^2(\overline{\chi}, 1-s), \tag{2.21}$$

where ε_χ is the normalized Gauss sum

$$\varepsilon_\chi := \frac{1}{q^{1/2}} \sum_{x \pmod q} \chi(x) e\left(\frac{x}{q}\right).$$

From (2.21), we obtain

$$\Lambda^2(\chi, s)\Lambda^2(\overline{\chi}, s) = \Lambda^2(\overline{\chi}, 1-s)\Lambda^2(\chi, 1-s),$$

and thus, the following formula, called an approximate functional equation, which represent $|L(\chi, 1/2)|^4$ as a convergent series (see for example Theorem 5.3 in [IK04] or §1.3.2 in [Mic06]).

Lemma 2.6. *For χ a non principal Dirichlet character of modulus prime $q > 2$, we have*

$$|L(\chi, 1/2)|^4 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)^{1/2}} \chi(n)\overline{\chi}(m) V_\chi\left(\frac{mn}{q^2}\right), \tag{2.22}$$

where $\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1$ and

$$V_\chi(x) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{(2)} \frac{L_\infty^2(\chi, 1/2+s) L_\infty^2(\overline{\chi}, 1/2+s)}{L_\infty^2(\chi, 1/2) L_\infty^2(\overline{\chi}, 1/2)} x^{-s} Q(s) \frac{ds}{s}, \tag{2.23}$$

with $Q(s)$ an even and holomorphic function with exponential decay in vertical stripes and satisfying $Q(0) = 1$.

3. The Twisted Fourth Moment

Let ℓ_1, ℓ_2 two cubefree integers such that $(\ell_1, \ell_2) = 1$, $(\ell_1\ell_2, q) = 1$ and $\ell_i \leqslant L$ with L a small power of q. The fundamental quantity that we will study in this paper is the following twisted fourth moment

$$\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1, \ell_2; q) := \frac{2}{\phi^*(q)} \sideset{}{^+}\sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q \\ \chi \neq 1}} |L(\chi, 1/2)|^4 \chi(\ell_1)\overline{\chi}(\ell_2), \tag{3.1}$$

where the symbol + over the summation means that we restrict ourselves to the case of even characters and $\phi^*(q)$ denotes the number of primitive characters modulo q. It is natural to split the family $\{\chi \pmod q\}$ separately into even characters and odd characters because they have different gamma factors in their functional equations. In this work, we concentrate almost exclusively on the even characters because the case of the odd characters is similar (we could treat both cases simultaneously but it would clutter the notation). We briefly describe the necessary changes to treat the odd characters in § 5.3.3 since we need to take them in account for the symmetry of a certain function (see section 5.3).

3.1. **Applying the Approximate Functional Equation.** Using the approximate functional equation (2.22) from Lemma 2.6 (we omit the dependence in χ in the definition of V_χ since we deal with even characters and V_χ depends on χ only through its parity) and we can rewrite (3.1) as

$$\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=\frac{4}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{n,m}\frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)^{1/2}}V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right)\sum_{\chi \pmod q}^{+}\sum_{\chi\neq 1}\chi(n)\overline{\chi}(m)\chi(\ell_1)\overline{\chi}(\ell_2).$$

We now use the following identity which allows us to average the sum over the characters and it is valid for $(m,q)=1$ (see for instance (3.1)-(3.2), [IS99])

$$\sum_{\chi \pmod q}^{+}\sum_{\chi\neq 1}\chi(m)=\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\pm}\sum_{\substack{d|q\\ m\equiv \pm 1(d)}}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Hence we obtain $\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=\sum_{\pm}\mathcal{T}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ with

$$\mathcal{T}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q):=\frac{2}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 n\equiv \pm \ell_2 m \ (d)\\ (mn,q)=1}}\sum\frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)^{1/2}}V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right). \tag{3.3}$$

We now decompose $\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ into a diagonal part and a off-diagonal term by writing

$$\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=\sum_{\pm}\mathcal{T}^{4,\pm}_{OD}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)+\mathcal{T}^4_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\mathcal{T}^{4,\pm}_{OD}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ is the same as in (3.3) but with the extra condition that $n\ell_1\neq m\ell_2$ and the diagonal part is given by

$$\mathcal{T}^4_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q):=2\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 n=\ell_2 m\\ (nm,q)=1}}\sum\frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)^{1/2}}V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right). \tag{3.5}$$

3.2. **Computation of the Diagonal Part.** In this section, we extract a main term coming from the diagonal part $\mathcal{T}^4_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$. We use the standard technique consisting in shifting the contour of integration. We first remark that up to an error of size $O(L^{1/2}q^{-1+\varepsilon})$, we can remove the primality condition $(nm,q)=1$. Once we have done this, we write V as inverse Mellin transform (see definition (2.23)), obtaining (up to an error term of $O(L^{1/2}q^{-1+\varepsilon})$)

$$\mathcal{T}^4_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=\frac{2}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)}G(s)q^{2s}\left(\sum_{\ell_1 n=\ell_2 m}\sum\frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)^{1/2+s}}\right)\frac{ds}{s}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $G(s)$ is the integrand in V, i.e. (recall that $\kappa=0$ here)

$$G(s)=\pi^{-2s}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+s}{2}\right)^4}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{4})^4}Q(s). \tag{3.7}$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have the factorization*

$$\sum_{\ell_1 n=\ell_2 m}\sum\frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)^{1/2+s}}=\frac{f(\ell_1\ell_2;1+2s)}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2+s}}\frac{\zeta^4(1+2s)}{\zeta(2+4s)}, \tag{3.8}$$

where $n\mapsto f(n;s)$ is a multiplicative function supported on cubefree integers and whose values on $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^2$ are given by

$$f(\mathfrak{p};s)=\frac{2}{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-s}}\quad,\quad f(\mathfrak{p}^2;s)=\frac{3-\mathfrak{p}^{-s}}{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-s}}. \tag{3.9}$$

Proof. Since $(\ell_1,\ell_2)=1$, the condition $\ell_1 n=\ell_2 m$ is equivalent to $n=\ell_2 j$ and $m=\ell_1 j$ with $j\geqslant 1$. Thus, the left handside of (3.8) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2+s}}\sum_{j\geqslant 1}\frac{\tau(\ell_1 j)\tau(\ell_2 j)}{j^{1+2s}}.$$

Using the fact that the ℓ_i's are cubefree, we factorize the above sum as an infinite product over the primes

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{p}||\ell_1}L_{\mathfrak{p}}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}^2|\ell_1}L_{\mathfrak{p}}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}||\ell_2}L_{\mathfrak{p}}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}^2|\ell_2}L_{\mathfrak{p}}\prod_{\mathfrak{p}\nmid\ell_1\ell_2}L_{\mathfrak{p}},$$

with

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}}=\begin{cases}\sum_{\alpha\geqslant 0}\frac{(\alpha+2)(\alpha+1)}{\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha(1+2s)}} & \text{if}\quad \mathfrak{p}||\ell_i,\\ \sum_{\alpha\geqslant 0}\frac{(\alpha+3)(\alpha+1)}{\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha(1+2s)}} & \text{if}\quad \mathfrak{p}^2|\ell_i,\\ \sum_{\alpha\geqslant 0}\frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha(1+2s)}} & \text{if}\quad \mathfrak{p}\nmid\ell_1\ell_2.\end{cases}$$

Using

$$\sum_{\alpha\geqslant 0}\frac{(\alpha+1)}{\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha(1+2s)}}=\frac{1}{(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s})^2}\quad\text{and}\quad\sum_{\alpha\geqslant 0}\frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha(1+2s)}}=\frac{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}{(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s})^3}$$

and we get for $\mathfrak{p}||\ell_i$

$$\begin{aligned}L_{\mathfrak{p}}&=\sum_{\alpha\geqslant 0}\frac{(\alpha+1)}{\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha(1+2s)}}+\sum_{\alpha\geqslant 0}\frac{(\alpha+1)^2}{\mathfrak{p}^{\alpha(1+2s)}}=\frac{1}{(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s})^2}+\frac{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}{(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s})^3}\\&=\left(\frac{1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}+1\right)\frac{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}{(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s})^3}=\frac{2}{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}\frac{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}{(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s})^3}.\end{aligned}$$

We proceed in a similar way for $\mathfrak{p}^2|\ell_i$ and we obtain

$$L_{\mathfrak{p}}=\frac{3-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}\frac{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s}}{(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1-2s})^3}.$$

We conclude the lemma by the well known identity

$$\sum_{n\geqslant 1}\frac{\tau(n)^2}{n^s}=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}\frac{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-s}}{(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-s})^3}=\frac{\zeta^4(s)}{\zeta(2s)}.$$

□

We insert the factorization (3.8) in (3.6), obtaining

$$\mathcal{T}_D^4(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=\frac{2}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)}\frac{G(s)q^{2s}}{\zeta(2+4s)}\frac{f(\ell_1\ell_2;1+2s)}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2+s}}\zeta^4(1+2s)\frac{ds}{s},$$

Moving the s-line on the left to $s=-1/4+\varepsilon$, we pass a pole of order five at $s=0$. Note that for $\Re e(s)=\delta>-1/2$, we have uniformly $f(\ell_1\ell_2,1+2s)\ll_{\delta,\varepsilon}(\ell_1\ell_2)^{\varepsilon}$ and thus, we can bound the remaining integral by $O(q^{-1/2+\varepsilon}(\ell_1\ell_2)^{-1/4})$. Hence we obtain

Proposition 3.2. *The diagonal part given by (3.5) can be written as*

$$\mathcal{T}_D^4(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=2\mathrm{Res}_{s=0}\left\{\frac{G(s)q^{2s}}{s\zeta(2+4s)}\frac{f(\ell_1\ell_2;1+2s)}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2+s}}\zeta^4(1+2s)\right\}+O\left(\frac{q^{\varepsilon-1/2}}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/4}}\right),\tag{3.10}$$

where $f(\ell_1\ell_2,1+2s)$ is defined in Lemma 3.1.

4. The Off-Diagonal Term

We evaluate in this section the off-diagonal part in decomposition (3.4). Removing the primality condition $(mn,q)=1$ in (3.3) for an error cost of $O(Lq^{-1/2+\varepsilon})$ and we are reduced to analyze the following quantity

$$\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=\frac{2}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\sum_{\substack{\ell_1 n\equiv\pm\ell_2 m \pmod d\\ \ell_1 n\neq\ell_2 m}}\sum\frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{(nm)^{1/2}}V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right). \tag{4.1}$$

It is convenient for the analysis of (4.1) to localize the variables n and m by applying a partition of unity. We choose a partition on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}\times\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ as in the work of Young (c.f. [You11]), namely, of the form $\{W_{N,M}(x,y)\}_{N,M}$ where N,M runs over power (positive and negative) of 2. In consequence, the numbers of such N,M such that $1\leqslant N,M\leqslant X$ is $O(\log^2 X)$. The functions $W_{N,M}(x,y)$ are of the form $W_N(x)W_M(y)$ with W_N a smooth function supported on $[N,2N]$. Moreover, it is possible to take $W_N(x)=W(x/N)$ with W a fixed, smooth and compactly supported function on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ satisfying $W^{(j)}\ll_j 1$. Applying this partition to (4.1), we obtain $\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=\sum_{N,M}\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)$ with

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q):=&\frac{2}{(NM)^{1/2}\phi^*(q)}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\\ &\times\sum_{\substack{\ell_2 n\equiv\pm\ell_1 m \pmod d\\ \ell_1 n\neq\ell_2 m}}\sum\tau(n)\tau(m)W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where we made the substitution

$$W(x)\leftrightarrow x^{-1/2}W(x). \tag{4.3}$$

Because of the fast decay of the function $V(y)$ as $y\to+\infty$ (easy to see by shifting the contour on the right in the definition (2.23)), we can assume that $NM\leqslant q^{2+\varepsilon}$ at the cost of an error term $O(q^{-100})$. Furthermore, since each dependency in ℓ_1,ℓ_2 which will appear in the error terms will be of the form $(\ell_1\ell_2)^A$ or L^B, we can also assume that $N\geqslant M$. We will treat differently (4.2) according to the relative size of M and N. We also note that the trivial bound is given by

$$\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)\ll q^{\varepsilon}L\frac{(MN)^{1/2}}{q}. \tag{4.4}$$

4.1. The Off-Diagonal Term Using Bilinear Forms in Kloosterman Sums.

In this section, we treat the shifted convolution sum (4.2) when N and M have relatively different sizes (see (4.7)) using bounds for bilinear forms in Kloosterman sums provided by Theorem 2.3. As a first step, we replace $\phi^*(q)$ by $\phi(q)$ for an error cost of $O(Lq^{-1+\varepsilon})$. Once we have done this, we separate the arithmetical sum over $d|q$. When $d=q$, since $(\ell_1,q)=1$, we detect the congruence condition $n\equiv\overline{\ell_1}\ell_2 m \pmod q$ using additive characters. We thus get (up to $O(Lq^{-1+\varepsilon})$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)=&\frac{2}{q(MN)^{1/2}}\sum_m\tau(m)W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)\sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \pmod q}e\left(\frac{\pm a\overline{\ell_1}\ell_2 m}{q}\right)\\ &\times\sum_{\substack{n\\ \ell_1 n\neq\ell_2 m}}\tau(n)e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right)\tau(n)W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

$$+2\frac{q^{-1}-\phi^*(q)^{-1}}{(MN)^{1/2}}\sum_{\substack{n,m\\ \ell_1 n\neq\ell_2 m}}\tau(n)\tau(m)W\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)V\left(\frac{nm}{q^2}\right), \tag{4.6}$$

where the line (4.6) is the contribution of the trivial additive character and the case $d = 1$ (the minus sign comes from the Möbius function) and is of size at most $O(q^{-1+\varepsilon})$. Hence we are reduced to the estimation of (4.5) and we call this expression $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q)$. We will follow the first steps of § 6.3 in [BFK+14] and then turn to § 4 in [BFK+16].

We set $N = q^{\nu}$, $M = q^{\mu}$ and $L = q^{\lambda}$. By the fast decay of $V(y)$ as $y \to +\infty$ and the bound (4.4), we can assume that $2 - 2\eta \leqslant \nu + \mu \leqslant 2 + \varepsilon$. Anticipating the results of section 4.2, we also make the additional assumption that

$$\nu - \mu \geqslant 1 - 2\theta - 2\eta, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\theta = 7/64$ is the current best approximation toward the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture (c.f. (2.15)). This extra assumption allows us to ignore the condition $\ell_1 n \neq \ell_2 m$ because $\ell_i \leqslant L = q^{\lambda}$ and λ will be certainely smaller than $1-2\theta-2\eta$. It is convenient now to separate the variables mn in the test function V using its Mellin transform, namely

$$\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) = \frac{2}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} G(s) \left(\frac{q^2}{NM}\right)^s \Bigg\{ \frac{1}{q(MN)^{1/2}} \sum_m \tau(m) W_s\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) \times \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{a \pmod q} e\left(\frac{\pm a\overline{\ell_1}\ell_2 m}{q}\right) \sum_n \tau(n) e\left(\frac{an}{q}\right) \tau(n) W_s\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \Bigg\} \frac{ds}{s},$$

where $W_s(x) = x^{-s} W(x)$. We note that the dependence in s is very mild, namely

$$x^j \frac{d^j}{dx^j} W_s(x) \ll x^{-\Re\mathrm{e}(s)} |P_j(s)| \max_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant j} \left| x^i \frac{d^i}{dx^i} W(x) \right|,$$

with $P_j(s)$ a polynomial of degree j in s. Hence this separation has a cost of q^{ε} because of the exponential decay of $G(s)$ in the imaginary direction. We thus keep the notation $\mathcal{S}^{\pm}$ for (4.5) with the factor V removed and we also write W instead of W_s. We will treat only the plus case since the minus case is analogous. We next apply Voronoi summation formula (c.f. Proposition 2.2) to the n-sum in (4.5). We obtain a first integral term which is $O(q^{-1+\varepsilon})$ plus two additional terms which can be decomposed into $O(\log q)$ sums of the shape (c.f. eq (6.13), [BFK+14])

$$\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(N, \mathcal{N}, M; q) = \frac{1}{(qMN^*)^{1/2}} \sum_n \sum_m \tau(n)\tau(m) W\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) V\left(\frac{n}{\mathcal{N}}\right) \mathrm{Kl}_2(\pm\overline{\ell_1}\ell_2 nm), \tag{4.8}$$

where V is a smooth and compactly supported function that has the same properties that W, namely $V^{(j)} \ll q^{\varepsilon j}$, $N^* := q^2 N^{-1}$, $\mathcal{N} \leqslant N^*$ and we did not write the dependence in ℓ_1, ℓ_2 because the bounds will be uniform with respect to these variables. A trivial estimation gives

$$\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(N, \mathcal{N}, M; q) \ll q^{\varepsilon} \frac{(MN^*)^{1/2}}{q^{1/2}} = q^{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{qM}{N}\right)^{1/2}.$$

Therefore, combining with (4.7), we will analyze (4.8) in the critical range

$$1 - 2\theta - 2\eta \leqslant \nu - \mu \leqslant 1 + 2\eta, \tag{4.9}$$

or equivalently

$$1 - 2\eta \leqslant \mu + \nu^* \leqslant 1 + 2\theta + 2\eta, \tag{4.10}$$

for $\nu^* = 2 - \nu$. We now separate the divisor function by writing $m = m_1 m_2$, $n = m_3 m_4$ and after applying a partition of unity, we are reduced to estimate $O(\log^4 q)$ sums of the form

$$\frac{1}{(qMN^*)^{1/2}} \sum\sum\sum\sum_{m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4} W\left(\frac{m_1 m_2}{M}\right) V\left(\frac{m_3 m_4}{\mathcal{N}}\right) \times \prod_{i=1}^{4} W_i\left(\frac{m_i}{M_i}\right) \mathrm{Kl}_2(\pm\overline{\ell_1}\ell_2 m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4), \tag{4.11}$$

with $M_1M_2 = M$ and $M_3M_4 = \mathcal{N} \leqslant N^*$. It is also convenient to separate the variables m_1m_2 and m_3m_4 in the functions W and V using the same trick as before. Hence, we are reduced to the estimation of the following quantity

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(M_1,M_2,M_3,M_4;q) :=& \frac{1}{(qMN^*)^{1/2}} \sum_{m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4} W_1\left(\frac{m_1}{M_1}\right) W_2\left(\frac{m_2}{M_2}\right) \\ &\times W_3\left(\frac{m_3}{M_3}\right) W_4\left(\frac{m_4}{M_4}\right) \mathrm{Kl}_2(\pm\overline{\ell_1}\ell_2 m_1m_2m_3m_4),\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where we redefined the functions W_i and they satisfy $W_i^{(j)} \ll q^{j\varepsilon}$ for all $i = 1, ..., 4$ and with implied constants depending only on j and ε. We note M_i in the form $M_i = q^{\mu_i}$ and up to renumbering, we can assume that

$$\mu_1 \leqslant \mu_2 \leqslant \mu_3 \leqslant \mu_4, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{4} \mu_i = \mu + \nu', \quad \nu' \leqslant \nu^*. \tag{4.13}$$

We will proceed as follow : if the product of the two longest smooth variables is large enough, then we use the bound (2.9) in Theorem 2.3 with $MN = M_3M_4$, $Q = q^{\varepsilon}$ and then, average trivially over m_1, m_2. If this is note the case, then it will be possible to factor the product $m_1m_2m_3m_4$ in mn such a way that the estimate (2.5) for general bilinear sums is beneficial.

More concretely, we apply (2.9) and we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(M_1,M_2,M_3,M_4;q) &\ll q^{\varepsilon} \frac{M_1M_2}{(qMN^*)^{1/2}} \left(q^{1/2} + \frac{M_3M_4}{q^{1/2}}\right) \\ &\ll q^{\varepsilon} \left(\sqrt{\frac{M_1M_2}{M_3M_4}} + \frac{(MN^*)^{1/2}}{q}\right).\end{aligned}$$

The second term is $\ll q^{-\eta+\varepsilon}$ provided (use (4.10))

$$\eta \leqslant \frac{1}{4} - \frac{\theta}{2}, \tag{4.14}$$

and the first is also $\ll q^{-\eta+\varepsilon}$ if $\mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3 - \mu_4 \leqslant -2\eta$. We may therefore assume that

$$0 \leqslant \mu_3 + \mu_4 - (\mu_1 + \mu_2) \leqslant 2\eta. \tag{4.15}$$

We now apply the first part (2.5) with $M = M_4$ and $N = M_1M_2M_3$ so that $NM = q^{\mu+\nu'} \leqslant MN^*$ and derive the bound

$$\mathcal{S}^{\pm}(M_1,M_2,M_3,M_4;q) \ll q^{\varepsilon}\left(q^{\frac{\mu_1+\mu_2+\mu_3-1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\mu_4}{2}}\right).$$

We now need to check under which conditions on η these two terms are bounded by $q^{-\eta+\varepsilon}$. Since $\mu_4 \geqslant \mu_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we obtain by (4.10)

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{3}\right)(\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3) \leqslant \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 + \mu_4 \leqslant 1 + 2\theta + 2\eta,$$

so

$$\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 \leqslant \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3\theta}{2} + \frac{3\eta}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 - 1}{2} \leqslant -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{3\theta}{4} + \frac{3\eta}{4} \leqslant -\eta,$$

provided

$$\eta \leqslant \frac{1 - 6\theta}{14}. \tag{4.16}$$

Moreover, using (4.15) and (4.10) (recall $\mu_1 \leqslant \mu_2 \leqslant \mu_3 \leqslant \mu_4$), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_4 &\leqslant 2\eta + \mu_1 + \mu_2 - \mu_3 \leqslant 2\eta + \mu_1 \\ &\leqslant 2\eta + \frac{1}{3}(1 + 2\theta + 2\eta - \mu_4) = \frac{1}{3} - \frac{\mu_4}{3} + \frac{2\theta}{3} + \frac{8\eta}{3}.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mu_4 \leqslant \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\theta}{2} + 2\eta$, so

$$-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\mu_4}{2} \leqslant -\frac{1}{8} + \frac{\theta}{4} + \eta \leqslant -\eta,$$

under the assumption that

$$\eta \leqslant \frac{1 - 2\theta}{16}, \tag{4.17}$$

which is in fact less restrictive than (4.16) for $\theta = 7/64$. We summarize the previous calculations in the following proposition

Proposition 4.1. *Assuming we are in the range (4.7). Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) \ll q^{-\eta+\varepsilon},$$

where the implied constant depends only on ε and

$$\eta = \frac{1 - 6\theta}{14} = \frac{11}{448}. \tag{4.18}$$

Remark 4.2. Note that if the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture is true ($\theta = 0$), then the more restrictive condition becomes (4.17) and we obtain the conclusion of Proposition 4.1 with $\eta = 1/16$.

4.2. **The Off-Diagonal Term Using Automorphic Forms.** We analyze in this section the shifted convolution problem when N, M are relatively close. More precisely, by the trivial bound (4.4) and the Proposition 4.1, we can assume that $N = q^\nu$ and $M = q^\mu$ are located in the range

$$2 - 2\eta \leqslant \nu + \mu \leqslant 2 + \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \nu - \mu \leqslant 1 - 2\theta - 2\eta. \tag{4.19}$$

In particular, this restriction implies that

$$\mu \geqslant \frac{1}{2} + \theta + \eta \ \text{ and } \ 1 - \eta \leqslant \nu \leqslant \frac{3}{2} - \theta - \eta + \varepsilon. \tag{4.20}$$

After an application of the Voronoi summation formula, we will see that the off-diagonal part given by (4.2) decomposes as

$$\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) = \mathcal{OD}^{MT,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) + \mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q),$$

where the first is a main term and the second is an error term. We treat here the error term $\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}$ and evaluate $\mathcal{OD}^{MT,\pm}$ in section 5.

4.2.1. *The δ-symbol.* For $Q \geqslant 1$, we choose a smooth, even and compactly supported function w in $[Q, 2Q]$ satisfying $w(0) = 0$, $w^{(i)} \ll Q^{-1-i}$ and $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} w(r) = 1$. We can express the delta function in terms of additives characters in the following way

$$\delta(n) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{k(\ell)} e\left(\frac{kn}{\ell}\right) \Delta_\ell(n),$$

where the "$*$" means that we restrict the summation to primitive class modulo ℓ and

$$\Delta_\ell(u) := \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (r\ell)^{-1} \left(w(r\ell) - w\left(\frac{u}{r\ell}\right)\right).$$

The function Δ_ℓ satisfies the following bound (c.f. Lemma 2 [DFI94a])

$$\Delta_\ell(u) \ll \min\left(\frac{1}{Q^2}, \frac{1}{\ell Q}\right) + \min\left(\frac{1}{|u|}, \frac{1}{\ell Q}\right). \tag{4.21}$$

It is also convenient to keep partial track that $\ell_1 n \pm \ell_2 m - hd$ is not too large to pick φ a smooth function such that $\varphi(0) = 1$, $\varphi(u) = 0$ for $|u| \geqslant U$ and $\varphi^{(i)} \ll U^{-i}$ for some U satisfying $U \leqslant Q^2$. We thus remark that $\Delta_\ell(u) = 0$ if $|u| \leqslant U$ and $\ell > 2Q$ (the parameters U and Q will be explicit in Lemma 4.4). We now return to the expression (4.2) and write the congruence condition $\ell_1 n \equiv \pm \ell_2 m \pmod d$ as $\ell_1 n \mp \ell_2 m = hd$ for $h \neq 0$ (since $\ell_1 n \neq \ell_2 m$). We see that if $d = q$, we can assume that $(h, q) = 1$ for a cost of $O(Lq^{-1+\varepsilon})$, an extra condition that will be used only in § 4.2.3 and will not be precised under each h-summation until there. It follows that (4.2) can be written as

$$\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) = \frac{2}{(MN)^{1/2}\phi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \phi(q)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{\ell \leqslant 2Q} \sum_{h \neq 0} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{k(\ell)} e\left(\frac{-khd}{\ell}\right)$$

$$\times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)\tau(m) e\left(\frac{\ell_1 n \mp \ell_2 m}{\ell}\right) E^{\mp}(n, m, \ell), \tag{4.22}$$

with (omitting the dependance in d and ℓ_i in these definitions)

$$E^{\mp}(x, y, \ell) := F^{\mp}(x, y)\Delta_\ell(\ell_1 x \mp \ell_2 y - hd), \tag{4.23}$$

and

$$F^{\mp}(x, y) := W\left(\frac{x}{N}\right) W\left(\frac{y}{M}\right) \varphi(\ell_1 x \mp \ell_2 y - hd) V\left(\frac{xy}{q^2}\right).$$

4.2.2. *Application of the Voronoi summation formula.* We apply the Voronoi summation formula (c.f. Proposition 2.2) on the (m, n)-sum in (4.22) and get eight error terms plus a principal term (see (23), [DFI94a]). We write explicitly the principal term in Section 5 (c.f. eq (5.1)). All error terms can be treated similarly, so we only focus here on the one which is of the form (recall that $(\ell_1, \ell_2) = 1$)

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) := \frac{1}{(MN)^{1/2}\phi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{\ell \leqslant 2Q} \frac{(\ell_1\ell_2, \ell)}{\ell^2} \sum_{h \neq 0} \sideset{}{^*}\sum_{k(\ell)} \tag{4.24}$$

$$\times e\left(\frac{-khd}{\ell}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \tau(n)\tau(m) e\left(-n\frac{\overline{\ell_1' k}}{\ell'}\right) e\left(\pm m\frac{\overline{\ell_2' k}}{\ell''}\right) I^{\mp}(n, m, \ell),$$

where $\ell_i' = \ell_i/(\ell_i, \ell)$, $\ell' = \ell/(\ell_1, \ell)$, $\ell'' = \ell/(\ell_2, \ell)$, the overlines denote the inverse modulo the respective denominators and where $I^{\mp}(n, m, \ell)$ involves the Y_0 Bessel function :

$$I^{\mp}(n, m, \ell) := 4\pi^2 \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} E^{\mp}(x, y, \ell) Y_0\left(\frac{4\pi d_1\sqrt{nx}}{\ell}\right) Y_0\left(\frac{4\pi d_2\sqrt{my}}{\ell}\right) dxdy, \tag{4.25}$$

where we also set $d_i := (\ell_i, \ell)$. The main result of this section is the following non-trivial bound.

Theorem 4.3. *The quantity defined by (4.24) satisfies*

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) \ll q^{\varepsilon - 1/2 + \theta}(\ell_1\ell_2)^{3/2} L^5 \left(\frac{N}{M}\right)^{1/2} + q^{\varepsilon} L^8 \left(\frac{N}{q^2}\right)^{1/4}.$$

where the implied constant only depends on ε.

From now on, we only consider the case $\mathcal{OD}^{E,+}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q)$ since the other treatment is completly identical and we write $I(n, m, \ell)$ and $\mathcal{OD}^{E}$ instead of $I^{-}(n, m, \ell)$ and $\mathcal{OD}^{E,+}$. As in Section 4.1, we can also remove the test function V in the definition of $E(x, y, \ell)$ using its integral representation for an error cost of q^{ε} and a minor change on the function W. To not clutter further notations and computations, we will assume that $W^{(j)} \ll 1$ instead of $\ll q^{\varepsilon j}$. The following Lemma allows us to assume that ℓ is also not too small for a suitable choice of the parameters Q and U (see Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 in [Ary15]).

Lemma 4.4. *Set $Q^- := N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$, $Q = LN^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ and $U = LN$, then the ℓ-sum is very small ($\ll_C q^{-C}$ for any $C > 0$) unless*

$$Q^- \leqslant \ell \leqslant 2Q.$$

Proof. We assume that $\ell < Q^-$ and change the variables x, y

$$u = \frac{4\pi d_1\sqrt{nx}}{\ell} \quad , \quad v = \frac{4\pi d_2\sqrt{my}}{\ell}.$$

The integral (4.25) becomes

$$I(n,m,\ell) = \frac{\ell^4}{nm(4\pi)^2(d_1d_2)^2}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty E\left(\frac{u^2\ell^2}{(4\pi)^2nd_1^2}, \frac{v^2\ell^2}{(4\pi)^2md_2^2}, \ell\right) \times uvY_0(u)Y_0(v)dudv.$$

We now use the recursive formula $(z^\nu Y_\nu(z))' = z^\nu Y_{\nu-1}(z)$ and integrate by parts with respect to the u-variable, getting

$$I(n,m,\ell) \asymp \frac{\ell^{2(2+i)}}{mn^{1+i}d_2^2d_1^{2+2i}}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty E^{(i,0,0)}\left(\frac{u^2\ell^2}{nd_1^2}, \frac{v^2\ell^2}{md_2^2}, \ell\right) u^{1+i}Y_i(u)vY_0(v)dudy.$$

It remains to estimate the partial derivatives of E. We have by definition

$$E^{(i,0,0)} = \sum_{r+s=i} c_{rs}F^{(r,0)}\Delta_\ell^{(s,0,0)},$$

with

$$F^{(r,0)} \ll N^{-r} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_\ell^{(s,0,0)} \ll \frac{1}{\ell Q}\left(\frac{L}{\ell Q}\right)^s. \tag{4.26}$$

Since $\ell \leqslant Q^-$, we have $\ell QL^{-1} \leqslant Q^-QL^{-1} = N$ and the dominant factor becomes $(\ell Q/L)^{-1}$. Therefore, we obtain $E^{(i,0,0)} \ll (\ell Q/L)^{-(1+i)}$ and using $Y_i(z) \ll z^{-1/2}$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} I(n,m,\ell) &\ll \frac{\ell^{2(2+i)}L^{1+i}}{mn^{1+i}d_2^2d_1^{2+2i}(\ell Q)^{1+i}}\int_{u\asymp\frac{\sqrt{Nn}d_1}{\ell}}\int_{v\asymp\frac{\sqrt{mM}d_2}{\ell}} u^{i+1/2}v^{1/2}dudv \\ &\ll \frac{\ell^{2(2+i)}N^{i/2}(NM)^{3/4}L^{1+i}}{m^{1/4}n^{1/4+i/2}d_2^{1/2}d_1^{1/2+i}(\ell Q)^{1+i}\ell^{3+i}} \\ &= \frac{(NM)^{3/4}}{m^{1/4}n^{i/2+1/4}d_2^{1/2}d_1^{1/2+i}}\frac{N^{i/2}L^{1+i}}{Q^{1+i}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to our choice $Q = LN^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ and the fact that $N \geqslant q^{1-\eta}$ (see (4.20)), we conclude by choosing i large enough (of course in terms of ε). □

If we assume from now on that we are in the range $Q^- \leqslant \ell \leqslant 2Q$, then we have the following Lemma on the size of the new variables n and m.

Lemma 4.5. *The integral $I(n,m,\ell)$ is negligible unless*

$$n \leqslant \mathcal{N}_0 := \frac{Q^{2+\varepsilon}}{N} \quad , \quad m \leqslant \mathcal{M}_0 := \frac{Q^{2+\varepsilon}}{M}.$$

Proof. The proof is exactly the same as in Lemma 4.4, namey integrating by parts separatly with respect to u (if we want the upper bound for n) or with respect to v (if we want for m) and finally using the bounds

$$F^{(i,j)} \ll N^{-i}M^{-j} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta_\ell^{(i,j)} \ll \frac{1}{\ell Q}\left(\frac{L}{\ell Q}\right)^{i+j},$$

and the fact that M is not too small with respect to q (see (4.20)). □

4.2.3. *Application of the Kuznetsov formula.* We go back to (4.24) (remember that we are dealing with $\mathcal{OD}^+$) and multiply the arguments of the exponential in the n-sum (resp the m-sum) to obtain the numerators $-nd_1\overline{\ell_1'}k$ (resp $md_2\overline{\ell_2'}k$) over the same denominator ℓ. Once we have done this, we execute the k-summation over primitive class modulo ℓ, obtaining the complete Kloosterman sums. Applying finally a partition of unity to the interval $[Q^-, 2Q]$, we are reduced to estimate $O(\log q)$ sums of the shape

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{Q}(NM)^{1/2}}\sum_{d_i|\ell_i}\frac{1}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\sum_{(c,\ell_1'\ell_2')=1}c^{-1}\vartheta\left(\frac{cd_1d_2}{\mathcal{Q}}\right)\sum_{h\neq 0}\sum_{n\geqslant 1}\sum_{m\geqslant 1}\times\tau(n)\tau(m)S(hd,d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n-d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m;cd_1d_2)I(n,m,cd_1d_2), \tag{4.27}$$

where $Q^-\leqslant\mathcal{Q}\leqslant Q$ and ϑ is a smooth and compactly supported function on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $\vartheta^{(j)}\ll_j 1$ for all $j\geqslant 0$. The first obstruction for the application of the trace formula is the presence of inverses in the Kloosterman sums which are not with respect to its modulus. Indeed, $\overline{\ell_2'}$ (resp $\overline{\ell_1'}$) need to be understood modulo cd_1 (resp cd_2). We note that if the original ℓ_i's were squarefree, then one could take these inverses to be modulo cd_1d_2.

To solve this problem, we factorize in an unique way $d_i=d_i^*d_i'$ with $(d_i^*,\ell_i')=1$ and $d_i'|(\ell_i')^\infty$. Now since $(cd_1^*d_2^*,d_1'd_2')=1$, we may apply the twisted multiplicativity of the Kloosterman sums, getting

$$\begin{aligned}S(hd,d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n-d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m;cd_1d_2)&=S(hd,\overline{(d_1'd_2')^2}(d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n-d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m);cd_1^*d_2^*)\\&\quad\times S(hd,\overline{(cd_1^*d_2^*)^2}(d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n-d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m);d_1'd_2'),\end{aligned}$$

where the inverse of $d_1'd_2'$ (resp $cd_1^*d_2^*$) is taken modulo $cd_1^*d_2^*$ (resp $d_1'd_2'$). In the first line, both ℓ_i' are coprime with $cd_1^*d_2^*$ and therefore, we can take the inverse to be with respect to this modulus. In the second line, the quantity $d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n-d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m$ does not depend anymore on c since we are modulo $d_1'd_2'$. Following an idea of Blomer and Milićević [BM15] and used by Topacogullari in [Top15], we separate the dependence in c in the second Kloosterman sum by exploiting the orthogonality of Dirichlet characters, namely writing $v:=d_1'd_2'$, we have

$$S(hd,\overline{(cd_1^*d_2^*)^2}(d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n-d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m);v)=\frac{1}{\phi(v)}\sum_{\chi(v)}\overline{\chi}(cd_1^*d_2^*)\hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi},n,m,\ell_i,hd),$$

with

$$\hat{S}_v(\chi,n,m,\ell_i,hd):=\sum_{\substack{y(v)\\(y,v)=1}}\overline{\chi}(y)S(hd\overline{y},(d_1\overline{\ell_1'}n-d_2\overline{\ell_2'}m)\overline{y};v), \tag{4.28}$$

and where the inverse of ℓ_1' (resp ℓ_2') has to be taken modulo d_2' (resp d_1'). We note that the trivial bound for $\hat{S}_v$ is (recall that $d=1$ or q and $(v,q)=1$ since $(\ell_i,q)=1$)

$$\hat{S}_v\ll q^\varepsilon(h,v)^{1/2}v^{3/2}.$$

Altough we do not really need it in our treatment, it is in fact possible to do better. In [Top15], they obtained (see eq (3.6))

$$\hat{S}_v\ll q^\varepsilon\left(h,\frac{v}{\mathrm{cond}(\chi)}\right)v. \tag{4.29}$$

Inserting the previous factorization of the Kloosterman sums in (4.27), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\mathcal{Q}(NM)^{1/2}}\sum_{d_i|\ell_i}\frac{1}{\phi(v)}\sum_{\chi(v)}\overline{\chi}(d_1^*d_2^*)\frac{1}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\\
&\times\sum_{h\neq 0}\sum_{n,m\geqslant 1}\sum\tau(n)\tau(m)\hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi},n,m,\ell_i,hd)\\
&\times\sum_{(c,\ell_1'\ell_2')=1}\overline{\chi}(c)\frac{S(hd,\overline{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}(d_1\ell_2'n-d_2\ell_1'm);cd_1^*d_2^*)}{c}\vartheta\left(\frac{cd_1d_2}{\mathcal{Q}}\right)I(n,m,cd_1d_2).
\end{aligned}\tag{4.30}$$

The strategy is to analyze carefully the two last lines of (4.30) and then to average trivially over the first line. It is convenient from now on to localize the variables n,m and h by applying a partition of unity. Inspired by [BM15], we also localize $b:=d_1\ell_2'n-d_2\ell_1'm$ and are therefore reduced to estimate $O(\log^4 q)$ sums of the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}(\mathcal{N},\mathcal{M},B,H;d,\chi)&:=\sum_{h\asymp H}\sum_{|b|\asymp B}\sum_{\substack{d_1\ell_2'n-d_2\ell_1'm=b\\ n\asymp\mathcal{N},m\asymp\mathcal{M}}}\sum\tau(n)\tau(m)\hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi},n,m,\ell_i,hd)\\
&\times\sum_{(c,\ell_1'\ell_2')=1}\overline{\chi}(c)\frac{S(hd,\overline{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}b;cd_1^*d_2^*)}{c}\vartheta\left(\frac{cd_1d_2}{\mathcal{Q}}\right)\mathscr{I}(n,m,,b,h,cd_1d_2)\\
&=:\mathscr{D}^+ +\mathscr{D}^- +\mathscr{D}^0,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.31}$$

where $1\leqslant\mathcal{N}\leqslant\mathcal{N}_0$, $1\leqslant\mathcal{M}\leqslant\mathcal{M}_0$, $1\leqslant H\leqslant LN/d$, and where $\mathscr{D}^0$ (respectively $\mathscr{D}^+$, $\mathscr{D}^-$) denotes the contribution of $b=0$ (respectively $b>0$, $b<0$) and $\mathscr{I}(n,m,b,h,cd_1d_2)=G(n,m,|b|,h)I(n,m,cd_1d_2)$ with G a smooth and compactly supported function on $[\mathcal{N},2\mathcal{N}]\times[\mathcal{M},2\mathcal{M}]\times[B,2B]\times[H,2H]$ satisfying

$$G^{(i,j,k,p)}\ll\mathcal{N}^{-i}\mathcal{M}^{-j}B^{-k}H^{-p}.$$

Remark 4.6. The size if B depends on the sign of $d_1\ell_2'n-d_2\ell_1'm=b$. If $b>0$, then $B\leqslant d_1\ell_2'\mathcal{N}\leqslant L^2\mathcal{N}$ while for $b<0$, $B\leqslant L^2\mathcal{M}$ which is much larger (c.f. Lemma 4.5).

Evaluation of $\mathscr{D}^0$: To estimate the contribution of $b=0$, we use the bound $Y_0(z)\ll z^{-1/2}$, the fact that $x\asymp N, y\asymp M, n\asymp\mathcal{N}, m\asymp\mathcal{M}$ and (4.21) for the delta function which allows us to bound the integral :

$$\begin{aligned}
I(n,m,cd_1d_2)&\ll\frac{c(d_1d_2)^{1/2}}{(MN\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^{1/4}}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty|E(x,y,cd_1d_2)|dxdy\\
&\ll\frac{(MN)^{3/4}}{(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^{1/4}(d_1d_2)^{1/2}Q}.
\end{aligned}$$

We now use (4.29), the Weil bound for Kloosterman sums and $H\leqslant LN/d$, $\mathcal{N}\leqslant\mathcal{N}_0$ to obtain (recall that $v=d_1'd_2'$)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}^0&\ll q^\varepsilon\frac{(NM\mathcal{N})^{3/4}v(d_1^*d_2^*)^{1/2}}{\mathcal{M}^{1/4}Q}\sum_{h\asymp H}\sum_{c\leqslant\frac{\mathcal{Q}}{d_1d_2}}\frac{(h,v)(hd,cd_1^*d_2^*)^{1/2}}{c^{1/2}}\\
&\ll q^\varepsilon Ld^{-1}\frac{(NM\mathcal{N})^{3/4}v^{1/2}N\mathcal{Q}^{1/2}}{(d_1d_2)^{1/2}\mathcal{M}^{1/4}Q}\ll q^\varepsilon Ld^{-1}\frac{v^{1/2}M^{3/4}N\mathcal{Q}^2}{(d_1d_2)^{1/2}Q}.
\end{aligned}\tag{4.32}$$

We come back to (4.31) and we write $\mathscr{D}^{\pm}$ in an uniform way (recall that $v|(\ell_1'\ell_2')^{\infty}$ and $d_i = d_i^* d_i'$)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{D}^{\pm} = 4\pi^2 d_1 d_2 \sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'} \sum_{h\asymp H}\sum_{b\asymp B} \sum_{\substack{d_1\ell_2' n - d_2\ell_1' m = b \\ n\asymp \mathcal{N}, m\asymp \mathcal{M}}}\sum\tau(n)\tau(m)\hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi}, n, m, \ell_i, hd) \\ \times \sum_{(c,\ell_1'\ell_2' v^2)=1} \overline{\chi}(c)\frac{S(hd, \overline{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}b; cd_1^* d_2^*)}{cd_1d_2\sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'}}\Phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{|b|hd}}{cd_1d_2\sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'}}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

where the function Φ depends also on the variables n, m, b and h and is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(z,n,m,b,h) := G(n,m,|b|,h)\vartheta\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{|b|hd}}{z\mathcal{Q}\sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'}}\right)\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} E\left(x, y, \frac{4\pi\sqrt{|b|hd}}{z\sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'}}\right) \\ \times Y_0\left(zd_1\sqrt{\frac{\ell_1'\ell_2'}{|b|hd}}nx\right)Y_0\left(zd_2\sqrt{\frac{\ell_1'\ell_2'}{|b|hd}}my\right)dxdy.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.7. We can always assume that we are treating the case where $h \asymp H$ is positive since otherwise, we write $h \leftrightarrow -h$ and use $S(-hq, \overline{\ell_1'\ell_2'}b; cd_1d_2) = S(hq, \overline{\ell_1'\ell_2'}(-b); cd_1d_2)$.

Analysis of the function Φ :

Lemma 4.8. *The function Φ satisfies the bound*

$$\Phi \ll q^{\varepsilon}\frac{M^{3/4}N^{1/4}}{L(d_1d_2)^{1/2}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^{1/4}}.$$

Proof. Setting $\xi := 4\pi\sqrt{|b|hd/\ell_1'\ell_2'}$, using the bound $Y_0 \ll z^{-1/2}$, the fact $\Delta_{\ell}(u) \ll (\ell Q)^{-1}$ provides by (4.21), the ranges $x \asymp N$, $y \asymp M$ and the choice of $Q = LN^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ lead to

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &\ll \frac{\xi}{z}(d_1d_2)^{-1/2}(MN\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M})^{-1/4}\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}|E(x,y,\xi/z)|dxdy \\ &\ll \frac{(d_1d_2)^{-1/2}(MN)^{3/4}}{(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^{1/4}Q} \ll q^{\varepsilon}\frac{M^{3/4}N^{1/4}}{L(d_1d_2)^{1/2}(\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M})^{1/4}},\end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of this Lemma. □

Proposition 4.9. *The function Φ is $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^5)$ with each variable supported in*

$$z \asymp Z := \frac{\sqrt{BHd}}{\mathcal{Q}\sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'}},\ n \asymp \mathcal{N},\ m \asymp \mathcal{M},\ b \asymp B,\ h \asymp H.$$

Moreover, it satisfies the following bound on the partial derivatives

$$\Phi^{(\boldsymbol{\alpha})} \ll_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} q^{\varepsilon}\frac{M^{3/4}N^{1/4}}{L(d_1d_2)^{1/2}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^{1/4}}Z^{-\alpha_1}\mathcal{N}^{-\alpha_2}\mathcal{M}^{-\alpha_3}B^{-\alpha_4}H^{-\alpha_5}, \tag{4.34}$$

for any multi-index $\boldsymbol{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_5) \in \mathbb{N}^5$.

Proof. We only compute the first derivative with respect to z and h, the others being similar and we write $\Phi(z,h)$ instead of $\Phi(z,n,m,b,h)$. We begin by changing the variables $u = zd_1\xi^{-1}\sqrt{nx}$ and $v = zd_2\xi^{-1}\sqrt{my}$, getting

$$\Phi(z,h) = \frac{\xi^4}{nmz^4(d_1d_2)^2}G(h)\vartheta\left(\frac{\xi}{z\mathcal{Q}}\right)\int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty}E\left(\frac{u^2\xi^2}{nz^2d_1^2}, \frac{v^2\xi^2}{mz^2d_2^2}, \frac{\xi}{z}\right)uvY_0(u)Y_0(v)dudv.$$

It is clear that the derivative with respect to z of the two first terms will give the factor Z^{-1}. We focus on the integral whose z-derivative gives us three terms (up to minus signs)

$$\begin{aligned}
&z^{-1}\frac{\xi^2}{nz^2d_1^2}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty E^{(1,0,0)}(\cdot,\cdot,\frac{\xi}{z})u^3vY_0(u)Y_0(v)dudv\\
&+z^{-1}\frac{\xi^2}{mz^2d_2^2}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty E^{(0,1,0)}(\cdot,\cdot,\frac{\xi}{z})uv^3Y_0(u)Y_0(v)dudv\\
&+z^{-1}\frac{\xi}{z}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty E^{(0,0,1)}(\cdot,\cdot,\frac{\xi}{z})uvY_0(u)Y_0(v)dudv.
\end{aligned}$$

For the first two integrals, we put the module inside and we leave out the factor u^2 and v^2 with $u^2 \asymp Nnz^2d_1^2\xi^{-2}$, $v^2 \asymp Mmz^2d_2^2\xi^{-2}$. Once we have done this, we obtain a factor N/Z (resp M/Z) times the integral with $E^{(1,0,0)}$ (resp $E^{(0,1,0)}$) against $uvY_0(u)Y_0(v)$. We estimate trivially the remaining integral using (4.26), namely

$$E^{(1,0,0)} \ll \frac{1}{N\xi/zQ} \quad \text{and} \quad E^{(0,1,0)} \ll \frac{1}{M\xi/zQ}.$$

We mention that we implicitly used the fact that $\mathcal{Q} \geqslant Q^-$ (c.f Lemma 4.4) and thus

$$\frac{L}{\xi/zQ} \leqslant \frac{L}{\mathcal{Q}Q} \leqslant \frac{1}{N} \leqslant \frac{1}{M}.$$

Finally, we compute the third term in the same way, getting an extra factor z/ξ coming from the derivative of Δ_ℓ with respect to the variable ℓ.

For the h-derivative, we do exactly the same, getting a factor H^{-1}, except when we derive E with respect to h. Here, we obtain an extra factor d/LN coming from the factor $\varphi(\ell_1x-\ell_2y-hd)\Delta_\ell(\ell_1x-\ell_2y-hd)$. Using the fact that $H \leqslant LN/d$ concludes the proof. □

Kloosterman bound for $\mathscr{D}^\pm$: We can bound $\mathscr{D}^\pm$ in (4.33) using only the Weil bound for Kloosterman as in [DFI94a]. Indeed, using Lemma 4.8, Proposition 4.9 (just for the support of Φ) and the bound (4.29) leads to

$$\mathscr{D}^\pm \ll q^\varepsilon \frac{HB\mathcal{N}^{3/4}M^{3/4}N^{1/4}v^{1/2}}{L\mathcal{M}^{1/4}} \sum_{c\leqslant \frac{\mathcal{Q}}{d_1d_2}} \frac{(hd,cd_1^*d_2^*)^{1/2}}{c^{1/2}}.$$

We simply apply $B \leqslant L^2\mathcal{M}$, $H \leqslant LN/d$, $Q = LN^{1/2+\varepsilon}$ and the maximum values $\mathcal{M} \leqslant \mathcal{M}_0$, $\mathcal{N} \leqslant \mathcal{N}_0$ with $\mathcal{N}_0$, $\mathcal{M}_0$ given by Lemma 4.5 and we get

$$\mathscr{D}^\pm \ll q^\varepsilon d^{-1}L^2\frac{(M\mathcal{N}\mathcal{M})^{3/4}N^{5/4}\mathcal{Q}^{1/2}v^{1/2}}{(d_1d_2)^{1/2}} \ll q^\varepsilon d^{-1}L^5\frac{N^2\mathcal{Q}^{1/2}v^{1/2}}{(d_1d_2)^{1/2}}.$$

Unfortunately, the bound above is not enough to cover all the range (4.19). In fact, if we want to treat the shifted convolution problem using only the Weil bound, we should have chosen $Q = LM^{1/2}$ instead of $Q = LN^{1/2}$ as in [DFI94a]. It turns out that even with this choice, we fail to cover (4.19).

To obtain something better, we also need to exploit cancellations in the Kloosterman sums when we sum over its modulus. This can be done with the Kuznetsov formula and the spectral large sieve inequality.

Applying the trace formula : Before applying the Kuznetsov trace formula to the second line in (4.33), we need the following identity (c.f. (9.1)-(9.2), [DI82] or (2.3) in [Top15]) which allows us to get rid of the inverses in the Kloosterman sum by moving to a suitable cusp

(apply this identity with $r=\ell_1'\ell_2'v^2$, $s=d_1^*d_2^*$ and $c=c$) :

$$\sum_{(c,\ell_1'\ell_2'v^2)=1}\overline{\chi}(c)\frac{S(hd,\overline{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}b;cd_1^*d_2^*)}{cd_1^*d_2^*\sqrt{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}}\Phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{|b|hd}}{cd_1^*d_2^*\sqrt{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}},n,m,b,h\right)$$
$$=e\left(-\frac{b\overline{d_1^*d_2^*}}{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}\right)\sum_{\gamma}^{\Gamma_0(v\ell_1\ell_2)}\frac{S_{\infty\mathfrak{a}}^{\chi}(hd,b;\gamma)}{\gamma}\Phi\left(\frac{4\pi\sqrt{|b|hd}}{\gamma},n,m,b,h\right),$$

where $\mathfrak{a}:=1/d_1^*d_2^*$ is a cusp for the congruence group $\Gamma_0(v\ell_1\ell_2)$. The fact that $\mathfrak{a}$ is singular follows from the following observation : with the notations $s=d_1^*d_2^*$ and $r=\ell_1'\ell_2'v^2$, if we choose the scaling matrix of $\mathfrak{a}$ to be

$$\tau_{\mathfrak{a}}:=\begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{r} & 0\\ s\sqrt{r} & 1/\sqrt{r}\end{pmatrix}\in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}),$$

then the stabilizer of $\mathfrak{a}$ is generated by

$$\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}:=\tau_{\mathfrak{a}}\begin{pmatrix}1 & 1\\ 0 & 1\end{pmatrix}\tau_{\mathfrak{a}}^{-1}=\begin{pmatrix}1-sr & r\\ -s^2r & 1+sr\end{pmatrix},$$

so that $\chi(\gamma_{\mathfrak{a}})=1$. We now apply Kuznetsov formula (c.f. Proposition 2.4) to the γ-sum and we write separatly

$$\mathscr{D}^+=\frac{4\pi\sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'}}{(d_1d_2)^{-1}}\sum_{h\asymp H}\sum_{b\asymp B}e\left(-\frac{b\overline{d_1^*d_2^*}}{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}\right)\sum_{\substack{d_1\ell_2'n-d_2\ell_1'm=b\\ n\asymp\mathcal{N},m\asymp\mathcal{M}}}\sum\tau(n)\tau(m)\hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi},n,m,hd)$$
$$\times\left(\mathscr{H}(n,m,b,h)+\mathscr{M}^+(n,m,b,h)+\mathscr{E}^+(n,m,b,h)\right),$$
$$\mathscr{D}^-=\frac{4\pi\sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'}}{(d_1d_2)^{-1}}\sum_{h\asymp H}\sum_{b\asymp B}e\left(-\frac{b\overline{d_1^*d_2^*}}{v^2\ell_1'\ell_2'}\right)\sum_{\substack{d_1\ell_2'n-d_2\ell_1'm=b\\ n\asymp\mathcal{N},m\asymp\mathcal{M}}}\sum\tau(n)\tau(m)\hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi},n,m,hd)$$
$$\times\left(\mathscr{M}^-(n,m,b,h)+\mathscr{E}^-(n,m,b,h)\right),$$

where $\mathscr{H}$, $\mathscr{M}$ and $\mathscr{E}$ denote the contribution of the holomorphic part, the Maaß cusp forms and the Eisenstein spectrum and are given respectively by (c.f (2.17))

$$\mathscr{H}^+(n,m,b,h)=\sum_{\substack{k\geqslant 2\\ k\equiv\kappa\ (2)}}\dot{\Phi}_{n,m,b,h}(k)\Gamma(k)\sum_{f\in\mathcal{B}_k(v\ell_1\ell_2,\chi)}\sqrt{bhd}\overline{\rho_{f,\infty}}(hd)\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(b),$$
$$\mathscr{M}^+(n,m,b,h)=\sum_{f\in\mathcal{B}(v\ell_1\ell_2,\chi)}\widehat{\Phi}_{n,m,b,h}(t_f)\frac{\sqrt{bhd}}{\cosh(\pi t_f)}\overline{\rho_{f,\infty}}(hd)\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(b),$$
$$\mathscr{E}^+(n,m,b,h)=\sum_{\substack{\chi_1\chi_2=\chi\\ f\in\mathcal{B}(\chi_1,\chi_2)}}\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\widehat{\Phi}_{n,m,b,h}(t)\frac{\sqrt{bhd}}{\cosh(\pi t)}\overline{\rho_{f,\infty}}(hd,t)\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(b,t)dt.$$

We have the same expressions for $\mathscr{M}^-$ and $\mathscr{E}^-$, but with $\check{\Phi}_{n,m,b,h}$ instead of $\widehat{\Phi}_{n,m,b,h}$ (see (2.18)). We will analyze in detail $\mathscr{D}^-$, whose contribution is bigger than the plus case. This is due to the fact that if $b>0$, then B is at most $\mathcal{N}\ll q^{\varepsilon}L^2$ while for $b<0$, B could be of size $\mathcal{M}\ll q^{\varepsilon}L^2N/M$ (c.f. Remark 4.6). Furthermore, the holomorphic setting and the continuous spectrum will give a better bound than the discrete part since the Ramanujan-Petersson conjecture is true for both of them. Finally, since the treatment of these three terms is similar, we only focus on the Maaß cusp forms in $\mathscr{D}^-$.

Spectral analysis of $\mathscr{D}^-$: As said in the previous paragraph, we only focus on the discrete spectrum, writing $\mathscr{D}^{-,\mathrm{M}}$ for its contribution to $\mathscr{D}^-$. By $\mathscr{D}_K^{-,\mathrm{M}}$, we mean that we restrict the spectral parameter to the dyadic interval $K\leqslant t_f<2K$ in the definition of $\mathscr{D}^{-,\mathrm{M}}$. Using Proposition 4.9 and Lemma 2.1 (eq (2.3)), we see that we can restrict our attention to

$K \leqslant q^{\varepsilon} Z$ at the cost of $O(q^{-100})$. We now separate the variables in $\check{\Phi}_{n,m,b,h}(t)$ using the Mellin inversion formula in n, m, b, h :

$$\check{\Phi}_{n,m,b,h}(t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{(0)} \int_{(0)} \int_{(0)} \int_{(0)} \frac{\widetilde{\check{\Phi}(t)}(s_1, ..., s_4)}{n^{s_1} m^{s_2} |b|^{s_3} h^{s_4}} ds_4 ds_3 ds_2 ds_1,$$

where the Mellin transform equals

$$\widetilde{\check{\Phi}(t)}(s_1, ..., s_4) = \int_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^4} \check{\Phi}_{\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{h}}(t) \mathfrak{n}^{s_1} \mathfrak{m}^{s_2} \mathfrak{b}^{s_3} \mathfrak{h}^{s_4} \frac{d\mathfrak{n} d\mathfrak{m} d\mathfrak{b} d\mathfrak{h}}{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{h}}. \tag{4.35}$$

By virtue of Proposition 4.9 (the bound (4.34)) and this is the principal motivation for our choice of $Q = LN^{1/2}$, we see that we can restrict the supports of the integrals to $|\Im m(s_i)| \leqslant (Kq)^{\varepsilon}$ for a cost of $O((Kq)^{-100})$. We have therefore

$$\mathscr{D}_K^{-,\mathrm{M}} = \frac{4\pi^2 d_1 d_2 \sqrt{\ell_1' \ell_2'}}{(4\pi i)^4} \iiiint\limits_{|\Im m(s_i)| \leqslant (Kq)^{\varepsilon}} \mathscr{B}_K^{-,\mathrm{M}}(s_1, ..., s_4) ds_4 ds_3 ds_2 ds_1 + O\left((Kq)^{-100}\right), \tag{4.36}$$

where we defined

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{B}_K^{-,\mathrm{M}}(s_1, ..., s_4) := & \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}(v\ell_1\ell_2, \chi) \\ K \leqslant |t_f| < 2K}} \frac{\widetilde{\check{\Phi}(t_f)}(s_1, ..., s_4)}{\cosh(\pi t_f)} \sum_{h \asymp H} h^{-s_4} \\ & \times \sum_{b \asymp B} |b|^{-s_3} \alpha(b, h, s_1, s_2) \sqrt{hd|b|} \overline{\rho_{f,\infty}}(hd) \rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(b), \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

and

$$\alpha(b, h, s_1, s_2) := e\left(-\frac{b\overline{d_1^* d_2^*}}{v^2 \ell_1' \ell_2'}\right) \sum_{d_1 \ell_2' n - d_2 \ell_1' m = b} \sum_{\substack{n \asymp \mathcal{N} \\ m \asymp \mathcal{M}}} \frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{n^{s_1} m^{s_2}} \hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi}, n, m, h). \tag{4.38}$$

Since we want to apply Cauchy-Schwarz in (4.37) to make the square of the h and b sum appear in order to use the large sieve inequality, we need to separate h from b in $\alpha(b, h)$. Using the Definition (4.28) of $\hat{S}_v(\overline{\chi}, n, m, h)$ and opening the Kloosterman sum, we have

$$\mathscr{B}_K^{-,\mathrm{M}}(s_1, ..., s_4) = \sum_{\substack{x, y(v) \\ (xy, v) = 1}} \chi(y) \mathscr{A}_K(x, y, s_1, ..., s_4), \tag{4.39}$$

with this time

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{A}_K(x, y, s_1, ..., s_4) := & \sum_{\substack{f \in \mathcal{B}(v\ell_1\ell_2, \chi) \\ K \leqslant |t_f| < 2K}} \frac{\widetilde{\check{\Phi}(t_f)}(s_1, ..., s_4)}{\cosh(\pi t_f)} \sum_{h \asymp H} \delta(h, s_4) \\ & \times \sum_{b \asymp B} |b|^{-s_3} \omega(b, s_1, s_2) \sqrt{hd|b|} \overline{\rho_{f,\infty}}(hd) \rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(b), \end{aligned} \tag{4.40}$$

where

$$\delta(h, s_4) := h^{-s_4} e\left(\frac{hd\overline{y}x}{v}\right),$$

and

$$\omega(b, s_1, s_2, s_3) := e\left(-\frac{b\overline{d_1^* d_2^*}}{v^2 \ell_1' \ell_2'}\right) \sum_{d_1 \ell_2' n - d_2 \ell_1' m = b} \sum_{\substack{n \asymp \mathcal{N} \\ m \asymp \mathcal{M}}} \frac{\tau(n)\tau(m)}{n^{s_1} m^{s_2}} e\left(\frac{\left(d_1 \overline{\ell_1'} n - d_2 \overline{\ell_2'} m\right) \overline{xy}}{v}\right).$$

Since the supports of the integrals in (4.36) are restricted to $|\Im m(s_i)| \leqslant (Kq)^{\varepsilon}$, we can just estimate the quantity (4.37) and then average trivially over the s_i-integrals for an error

cost of $(Kq)^{4\varepsilon}$. In fact, we will analyze $\mathscr{A}_K(x,y,s_1,...,s_4)$ and then apply the trivial bound $\mathscr{B}_K \leqslant \phi(v)^2 \sup_{x,y,s_i} |\mathscr{A}_K(x,y,s_1,...,s_4)|$. Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we infer

$$|\mathscr{A}_K(x,y,s_1,...,s_4)| \leqslant \sup_{\substack{K\leqslant t<2K\\ \Re e(s_i)=0}} \left|\widetilde{\check{\Phi}(t)}(s_1,...,s_4)\right| \times \left(\sum_{\substack{f\in\mathcal{B}(v\ell_1\ell_2,\chi)\\ K\leqslant|t_f|<2K}} \frac{(1+|t_f|)^{\kappa}}{\cosh(\pi t_f)} \left|\sum_{h\asymp H}\delta(h,s_4)\sqrt{hd}\overline{\rho_{f,\infty}}(hd)\right|^2\right)^{1/2} \times \left(\sum_{\substack{f\in\mathcal{B}(v\ell_1\ell_2,\chi)\\ K\leqslant|t_f|<2K}} \frac{(1+|t_f|)^{-\kappa}}{\cosh(\pi t_f)} \left|\sum_{b\asymp B}|b|^{-s_3}\omega(b,s_1,s_2)\sqrt{|b|}\rho_{f,\mathfrak{a}}(b)\right|^2\right)^{1/2}, \tag{4.41}$$

where $\kappa\in\{0,1\}$ satisfies $\chi(-1)=(-1)^{\kappa}$. We mention that we implicitly used the fact that $\cosh(\pi t_f)$ is always positive since $|\Im m(t_f)|\leqslant\theta=7/64$ by (2.16) (it is enough to have $|\Im m(t_f)|<1/2$). Before applying the spectral large sieve, we need to control the size of the Mellin-Kuznetsov transform

$$t\mapsto \widetilde{\check{\Phi}(t)}(s_1,...,s_4).$$

To do this, we return to Definitions (4.35) and (2.1) and note (by permutation of integrals) that this is in fact the Bessel transform of the function

$$z\mapsto \Psi(z,s_1,...,s_4) := \iiiint_{(\mathbb{R}_{>0})^4} \Phi(z,\mathfrak{n},\mathfrak{m},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{h})\mathfrak{n}^{s_1}\mathfrak{m}^{s_2}\mathfrak{b}^{s_3}\mathfrak{h}^{s_4}\frac{d\mathfrak{n}d\mathfrak{m}d\mathfrak{b}d\mathfrak{h}}{\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{h}}.$$

Using again Proposition 4.9, we see that the support of Ψ is $z\asymp Z$ and that it satisfies the uniform bound (recall that $\Re e(s_i)=0$)

$$\Psi^{(i)} \ll q^{\varepsilon}\frac{M^{3/4}N^{1/4}}{L(d_1d_2)^{1/2}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^{1/4}}Z^{-i}.$$

Therefore, it follows from Lemma 2.1 (the bound (2.2)) that

$$\widetilde{\check{\Phi}(t)}(s_1,...,s_4) \ll q^{\varepsilon}\frac{M^{3/4}N^{1/4}}{ZL(d_1d_2)^{1/2}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^{1/4}}. \tag{4.42}$$

We substitute the bound above in the first line of (4.41). In the second line, we exploit the fact that we are at the cusp ∞ by mean of the Hecke relation between the Fourier coefficients and the eigenvalues (c.f. (2.13)), namely (we recall that $(h,q)=1$ and we use this hypothesis only here)

$$\sqrt{hd}\rho_{f,\infty}(hd)=\lambda_f(d)\sqrt{h}\rho_{f,\infty}(h).$$

Note that we also used the fact that q is coprime to the level of the group $\Gamma_0(v\ell_1\ell_2)$ since $(\ell_1\ell_2,q)=1$ and $v|\ell_1\ell_2$. We now use the bound $|\lambda_f(d)|\leqslant 2d^{\theta}$ (c.f (2.14) and recall that either $d=1$ or $d=q$ is prime), the large sieve inequality (c.f Theorem 2.5), the fact that $\mu(\mathfrak{a})=(v\ell_1\ell_2)^{-1}$ (c.f (2.19)), $\mathrm{cond}(\chi)\leqslant v$, the two bounds

$$||\delta||_H\leqslant H^{1/2}\ ,\ ||\omega||_B\ll q^{\varepsilon}\mathcal{N}B^{1/2}$$

and we obtain

$$\mathscr{A}_K \ll q^{\varepsilon}d^{\theta}\frac{M^{3/4}N^{1/4}(BH)^{1/2}\mathcal{N}^{3/4}}{ZL(d_1d_2)^{1/2}\mathcal{M}^{1/4}}\left(K+\frac{v^{-1/4}B^{1/2}}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}}\right)\left(K+\frac{v^{-1/4}H^{1/2}}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}}\right).$$

For K, we have since $\mathcal{Q}\geqslant N^{1/2-\varepsilon}$ and $H\leqslant NL/d$,

$$K\leqslant q^{\varepsilon}Z=q^{\varepsilon}\frac{(BHd)^{1/2}}{\mathcal{Q}(\ell_1'\ell_2')^{1/2}}\ll q^{\varepsilon}\frac{(LN)^{1/2}}{\mathcal{Q}}\frac{B^{1/2}}{(\ell_1'\ell_2')^{1/2}}\ll q^{\varepsilon}\frac{(LB)^{1/2}}{(\ell_1'\ell_2')^{1/2}}.$$

Hence,

$$\mathscr{A}_K \ll q^{\varepsilon} d^{\theta} L^{-1/2} \frac{M^{3/4} N^{1/4} B H^{1/2} \mathcal{N}^{3/4}}{Z (\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2} \mathcal{M}^{1/4} (\ell_1'\ell_2')^{1/2}} \left((LB)^{1/2} + H^{1/2} \right).$$

Using $Z \asymp \mathcal{Q}^{-1} (\ell_1'\ell_2')^{-1/2} (BHd)^{1/2}$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{A}_K &\ll q^{\varepsilon} d^{-1/2+\theta} L^{-1/2} \frac{M^{3/4} N^{1/4} B^{1/2} \mathcal{N}^{3/4} \mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{M}^{1/4} (\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}} \left((LB)^{1/2} + H^{1/2} \right) \\ &=: \mathscr{A}_K(B) + \mathscr{A}_K(H).\end{aligned}$$

For the first expression, we have using $B \leqslant L^2 \mathcal{M}$ and the maximum values of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ given by Lemma 4.5

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{A}_K(B) &\ll q^{\varepsilon} d^{-1/2+\theta} L^2 \frac{(M\mathcal{M}\mathcal{N})^{3/4} N^{1/4} \mathcal{Q}}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}} \\ &\ll q^{\varepsilon} d^{-1/2+\theta} L^2 \frac{Q^3 \mathcal{Q}}{(\ell_1\ell_2 N)^{1/2}} \ll q^{\varepsilon} d^{-1/2+\theta} L^5 \frac{N\mathcal{Q}}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we have using also $H \leqslant LN/d$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{A}_K(H) &= q^{\varepsilon} d^{-1/2+\theta} L^{-1/2} \frac{M^{3/4} N^{1/4} (BH)^{1/2} \mathcal{N}^{3/4} \mathcal{Q}}{\mathcal{M}^{1/4} (\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}} \\ &\ll q^{\varepsilon} d^{-1+\theta} L \frac{(NM\mathcal{N})^{3/4} \mathcal{M}^{1/4} \mathcal{Q}}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}} \ll q^{\varepsilon} d^{-1+\theta} L \frac{Q^2 M^{1/2} \mathcal{Q}}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}} \\ &\ll q^{\varepsilon} d^{-1+\theta} L^3 \frac{N M^{1/2} \mathcal{Q}}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Conclusion of Theorem 4.3 : We insert these two estimations first in (4.39), so that it will be multiplied by $\phi(v)^2$. We next multiply by $d_1 d_2 \sqrt{\ell_1'\ell_2'}$ as in (4.36). Finally, we replace the two last lines of (4.30) by these bounds and execute the first line summation, obtaining that the contribution of $\mathscr{D}^-$ to $\mathcal{OD}^{E+}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q)$ is

$$q^{\varepsilon-1/2+\theta} (\ell_1\ell_2)^{3/2} \left(\left(\frac{L^6 N}{q} \right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{L^{10} N}{M} \right)^{1/2} \right) \ll q^{\varepsilon-1/2+\theta} L^5 (\ell_1\ell_2)^{3/2} \left(\frac{N}{M} \right)^{1/2}, \tag{4.43}$$

since $M/q \leqslant 1$. We do exactly the same thing with $\mathscr{D}^0$ (see (4.32)), getting that its contribution to $\mathcal{OD}^{E,+}$ is at most

$$q^{\varepsilon} L^8 \frac{M^{1/4} N^{1/2}}{q} \ll q^{\varepsilon} L^8 \left(\frac{N}{q^2} \right)^{1/4}, \tag{4.44}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 4.3.

4.2.4. *Combining the error terms of sections 4.1 and 4.2.* We combine now the error terms coming from sections 4.1 and section 4.2. We remind that $N = q^{\nu}$ and $M = q^{\mu}$ with $\nu \geqslant \mu$. If we are in the case $\nu + \mu \leqslant 2 - 2\eta$, then we can apply the trivial bound (4.4), obtaining

$$\mathcal{T}_{OD}^{4,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) \ll L q^{\varepsilon-\eta}. \tag{4.45}$$

Assume now that we are in the range $2 - 2\eta \leqslant \nu + \mu$. If $\nu - \mu \geqslant 1 - 2\theta - 2\eta$, we apply Proposition 4.1, getting the same as (4.45) (without the factor L). In the complementary case $\nu - \mu \leqslant 1 - 2\theta - 2\eta$, we apply Theorem 4.3 to the error term $\mathcal{OD}^E$ and we obtain

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) \ll q^{\varepsilon} (\ell_1\ell_2)^{3/2} \frac{L^5}{q^{\eta}} + q^{\varepsilon} L^8 q^{\frac{1}{4}(\nu-2)}.$$

Finally, applying (4.7) on the second term yields

$$\mathcal{OD}^{E,\pm}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) \ll q^{\varepsilon} \left(\frac{L^5 (\ell_1\ell_2)^{3/2}}{q^{\eta}} + \frac{L^8}{q^{\frac{1}{4}(\frac{1}{2}+\theta+\eta)}} \right). \tag{4.46}$$

Setting $L = q^{\lambda}$, the first term is automatically bigger than the second if $\lambda < \eta$, a condition we henceforth assume to hold and that gives the desired error term of Theorem 1.1.

5. The Off-Diagonal Main Term

We return to the main term that we left besides in the beginning of § 4.2.2. This expression corresponds to the product of the two constants terms after the application of Voronoi summation formula to (4.22) and is given by (see also § 5 in [DFI94a])

$$\mathcal{OD}_{\pm}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) := \frac{2\phi^*(q)^{-1}}{(MN)^{1/2}} \sum_{d|q} \phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{h\neq 0} \sum_{\ell \leqslant 2Q} \frac{(\ell_1\ell_2,\ell)}{\ell^2} S(hd,0;\ell) I_{\pm}, \tag{5.1}$$

and $I_{\pm}$ is the integral defined by

$$I_{\pm} := \int_0^{\infty}\int_0^{\infty} (\log \ell_1 x - \lambda_{\ell_1,\ell})\,(\log \ell_2 y - \lambda_{\ell_2,\ell})\, E^{\pm}(x,y,\ell)dxdy, \tag{5.2}$$

with $E^{\pm}$ given by (4.23) and

$$\lambda_{\ell_i,\ell} := \log\left(\frac{\ell_i \ell^2}{(\ell_i,\ell)^2}\right) - 2\gamma.$$

As a first step, we need to remove the delta function Δ_{ℓ} in our integral because this is an obstruction for the calculation. This can be done as follows : we make a first change of variables $\ell_1 x \mapsto x$ and $\ell_2 y \mapsto y$ and then, $x = \mp y + hd + u$, getting

$$I_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\ell_1\ell_2}\int_0^{\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}} C(\mp y + hd + u, y)\Delta_{\ell}(u)dudy, \tag{5.3}$$

where we defined

$$C(x,y) := (\log x - \lambda_{\ell_1,\ell})(\log y - \lambda_{\ell_2,\ell}) F\left(\frac{x}{\ell_1},\frac{y}{\ell_2}\right).$$

For the inner integral in (5.3), we use equation (18) in [DFI94a] and we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} C(\mp y + hq + u, y)\Delta_{\ell}(u)du = C(\mp y + hd, y) + O\left(\left(\frac{\ell Q}{\ell_1 N}\right)^j\right),$$

where the implied constant depends on $j \geqslant 1$. Assuming $\ell < (\ell_1 N/\ell Q)^{1-\varepsilon}$, we make the error term above very small by choosing j large enough. Therefore, we have for ℓ in this range

$$I_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\ell_1\ell_2}\int_0^{\infty} C(\mp y + hd, y)dy + O(q^{-100}).$$

On the other hand, we also have the bound (c.f. (30), [DFI94a]) $I_{\pm} \ll M\log Q$ which is valid for all ℓ. Hence, using $|h| \leqslant LN/d$, the bound for the Ramanujan sum $S(hd,0;\ell) \ll (hd,\ell)$ and the definition of $Q = LN^{1/2+\varepsilon}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{OD}_{\pm}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) = \frac{2(\phi^*(q)\ell_1\ell_2)^{-1}}{(MN)^{1/2}} \sum_{d|q} \phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{h\neq 0} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\ell_1\ell_2,\ell)}{\ell^2} S(hd,0;\ell) \\ \times \int_0^{\infty} C(\mp x + hd, x)dx + O(L^2 q^{\varepsilon-1/2}),\end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

where the eror term takes care of the tail of the ℓ-sum. We now recall that we have made the substitution $W(x) \leftrightarrow x^{-1/2}W(x)$ (c.f. (4.3)), so up to an error term of $O(L^2 q^{\varepsilon-1/2})$, we

have to compute the following expression

$$\mathcal{OD}_{\pm}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)=\frac{2}{\phi^*(q)(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\sum_{h\neq 0}\sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\ell_1\ell_2,\ell)c_\ell(hd)}{\ell^2}\times\int_0^\infty\Lambda^{\pm}(x,hd,\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell;q)dx,$$

where $c_\ell(hd)=S(hd,0;\ell)$ and where the function $\Lambda^{\pm}$ is defined by

$$\Lambda^{\pm}(x,hd,\ell_1,\ell_2,\ell;q):=\frac{(\log(\mp x+hd)-\lambda_{\ell_1,\ell})(\log x-\lambda_{\ell_2,\ell})}{(x(\mp x+hd))^{1/2}}\times V\left(\frac{x(\mp x+hd)}{\ell_1\ell_2q^2}\right)W\left(\frac{\mp x+hd}{\ell_1N}\right)W\left(\frac{x}{\ell_2M}\right). \tag{5.5}$$

Before evaluating the x-integral, we use the well known formula for the Ramanujan sum

$$c_\ell(hd)=\sum_{\substack{ab=\ell\\ b|hd}}\mu(a)b,$$

to get

$$\mathcal{OD}_{\pm}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)=\frac{2}{\phi^*(q)(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\sum_{a\geqslant 1}\frac{\mu(a)}{a^2}\sum_{b\geqslant 1}\frac{(\ell_1\ell_2,ab)}{b}\times\sum_{\substack{h\neq 0\\ b|hd}}\int_0^\infty\Lambda^{\pm}(x,hd,\ell_1,\ell_2,ab;q)dx. \tag{5.6}$$

It is convenient to replace the condition $b|hd$ by $b|h$. If $d=1$, there is nothing to do. Now if $d=q$, we use the fact that the integral is supported on $x\asymp\ell_2M$, the h-summation to $|h|\leqslant LN/q$ and $b\leqslant LN$ to obtain that up to an error term of $O(L^2q^{-1+\varepsilon})$, we can assume that $(b,q)=1$. Once we have done this, we can also remove the condition $(b,q)=1$ for the same cost.

5.1. **Evaluation of the x-Integral.** For this evaluation, we need to separate the $\pm$ case. Using the integral representation for V from (2.23) and the Mellin inversion formula for W, we have for the minus case

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty\Lambda^{-}(x,hd,\ell_1,\ell_2,ab;q)dx&=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{(w_1)}\int_{(w_2)}\widetilde{W_{N,M}}(w_1,w_2)\\&\times\int_{(s)}\frac{G(s)q^{2s}}{\ell_1^{-s-w_1}\ell_2^{-s-w_2}}\int_0^\infty x^{1/2-s-w_2}\frac{(\log x-\lambda_{\ell_2,ab})\,(\log(x+hd)-\lambda_{\ell_1,ab})}{(x+hd)^{1/2+s+w_1}}\\&\times(\delta_{h>0}+\delta_{h<0}\delta_{x>-hd})\frac{dx}{x}\frac{ds}{s}dw_2dw_1,\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

For the plus case, we clearly have zero if $h<0$ and otherwise

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty\Lambda^{+}(x,hd,\ell_1,\ell_2,ab;q)dx&=\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{(w_1)}\int_{(w_2)}\widetilde{W_{N,M}}(w_1,w_2)\int_{(s)}\frac{G(s)q^{2s}}{\ell_1^{-s-w_1}\ell_2^{-s-w_2}}\\&\times\int_0^\infty x^{1/2-s-w_2}\frac{(\log x-\lambda_{\ell_2,ab})\,(\log(hd-x)-\lambda_{\ell_1,ab})}{(hd-x)^{1/2+s+w_1}}\delta_{x<hd}\frac{dx}{x}\frac{ds}{s}dw_2dw_1.\end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Here we have to take care about the convergence of these x-integrals and choose suitable s, w_1, w_2-contours. More precisely, for (5.7), we need to impose

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Re e(s+w_2)<1/2\ ,\ \Re e(2s+w_1+w_2)>0 & \text{if} \quad h>0 \\ \Re e(s+w_1)<1/2\ ,\ \Re e(2s+w_1+w_2)>0 & \text{if} \quad h<0, \end{array} \tag{5.9}$$

and for (5.8), we must have

$$\Re e(s+w_1)<1/2 \ \text{ and } \ \Re e(s+w_2)<1/2. \tag{5.10}$$

In order to perform this computation and to deal later with real Dirichlet series, we put the logarithm factors in a more appropriate form, namely

$$(\log x-\lambda_{\ell_2,ab})(\log(\pm x+hd)-\lambda_{\ell_1,ab})=\mathfrak{D}_\gamma\cdot\left(\frac{x(\ell_2,ab)^2}{\ell_2(ab)^2}\right)^{u_2}\left(\frac{(\pm x+hd)(\ell_1,ab)^2}{\ell_1(ab)^2}\right)^{u_1},$$

where

$$\mathfrak{D}_\gamma:=(\partial_{u_1}+2\gamma)(\partial_{u_2}+2\gamma)|_{u_1=u_2=0}.$$

Assuming that (5.9) and (5.10) hold, we can rewrite the two last lines of (5.7) in the form

$$\mathfrak{D}_\gamma\cdot\left\{\frac{(\ell_1,ab)^{2u_1}(\ell_2,ab)^{2u_2}}{\ell_1^{u_1}\ell_2^{u_2}a^{2u_1+2u_2}b^{2u_1+2u_2}}\int_0^\infty x^{1/2-s-w_2+u_2}\frac{\delta_{h<0}\delta_{x>-hd}+\delta_{h>0}}{(x+hd)^{1/2+s+w_1-u_1}}\frac{dx}{x}\right\}, \tag{5.11}$$

and the last line of (5.8) equals

$$\mathfrak{D}_\gamma\cdot\left\{\frac{(\ell_1,ab)^{2u_1}(\ell_2,ab)^{2u_2}}{\ell_1^{u_1}\ell_2^{u_2}a^{2u_1+2u_2}b^{2u_1+2u_2}}\int_0^\infty x^{1/2-s-w_2+u_2}\frac{\delta_{x<hd}}{(hd-x)^{1/2+s+w_1-u_1}}\frac{dx}{x}\right\}. \tag{5.12}$$

Now using (2.21) and (2.19) in [Obe12], we obtain that the Mellin transform (5.11) equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{D}_\gamma\cdot\left\{\frac{(\ell_1,ab)^{2u_1}(\ell_2,ab)^{2u_2}}{\ell_1^{u_1}\ell_2^{u_2}a^{2u_1+2u_2}b^{2u_1+2u_2}(|h|d)^{2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2}}\right.\\ &\left.\times\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\Gamma(2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2)\Gamma(\frac12-s-w_2+u_2)}{\Gamma(\frac12+s+w_1-u_1)} & \text{if} \quad h>0,\\ \\ \frac{\Gamma(2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2)\Gamma(\frac12-s-w_1+u_1)}{\Gamma(1/2+s+w_2-u_2)} & \text{if} \quad h<0.\end{array}\right.\right.\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Similarly, we use (2.20) in [Obe12] for (5.12) and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathfrak{D}_\gamma\cdot\left\{\frac{(\ell_1,ab)^{2u_1}(\ell_2,ab)^{2u_2}}{\ell_1^{u_1}\ell_2^{u_2}a^{2u_1+2u_2}b^{2u_1+2u_2}(|h|d)^{2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2}}\right.\\ &\left.\times\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{\Gamma(\frac12-s-w_1+u_1)\Gamma(\frac12-s-w_2+u_2)}{\Gamma(1-2s-w_1-w_2+u_1+u_2)} & \text{if} \quad h>0,\\ \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad h<0.\end{array}\right.\right.\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

According to (5.9) and (5.10), we choose finally the following contours

$$\Re e(s),\Re e(w_1),\Re e(w_2)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}\varepsilon\ ,0\ ,\varepsilon & \text{if} \quad h>0\\ \\ \varepsilon\ ,\varepsilon,0 & \text{if} \quad h<0,\end{array}\right. \tag{5.15}$$

assuming of course that the u_i' s variables are sufficiently small compared to ε.

5.2. **Assembling the Partition of Unity.** The partition of unity is an obstruction for the computation of the second main term, so we need to rebuild it. This step requires some preparations. We return to Expression (5.6) (recall that we have removed $b|hd \leftrightarrow b|h$) and separate the case $h<0$ from $h>0$ by writing $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{\pm}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)=\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{\pm,h>0}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)+\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{\pm,h<0}(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)$, recalling that $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{+,h<0}=0$. In order to have a symmetric situation, we may group the terms as follow :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{OD}^{MT}:=\sum_{\pm}\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{\pm} &=\left(\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{-,h>0}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{+,h>0}\right)+\left(\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{-,h<0}+\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{+,h>0}\right)\\ &=:\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)+\mathcal{C}_2(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q).\end{aligned}\tag{5.16}$$

In $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{+,h>0}$ appearing in the second term, we just change the w_1,w_2-contours to have the same as $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}_{-,h<0}$ (see (5.15)). Inserting the results (5.13) and (5.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) &=\frac{2}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\sum_{a\geqslant 1}\frac{\mu(a)}{a^2}\sum_{b\geqslant 1}\frac{(\ell_1\ell_2,ab)}{b}\sum_{\substack{h\geqslant 1\\ b|h}}\\ &\times\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{(0)}\int_{(\varepsilon)}\widetilde{W_{N,M}}(w_1,w_2)\int_{(\varepsilon)}\frac{G(s)q^{2s}}{\ell_1^{1/2-s-w_1}\ell_2^{1/2-s-w_2}}\\ &\times\mathfrak{D}_\gamma\cdot\left\{\frac{(\ell_1,ab)^{2u_1}(\ell_2,ab)^{2u_2}H_1(s,w_1,w_2,u_1,u_2)}{\ell_1^{u_1}\ell_2^{u_2}(ab)^{2u_1+2u_2}(hd)^{2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2}}\right\}\frac{ds}{s}dw_2dw_1.\end{aligned}\tag{5.17}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}H_1(s,w_1,w_2,u_1,u_2):=&\frac{\Gamma(2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s-w_2+u_2)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+s+w_1-u_1)}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s-w_1+u_1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s-w_2+u_2)}{\Gamma(1-2s-w_1-w_2+u_1+u_2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.18}$$

The definition of $\mathcal{C}_2(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M)$ is the same, but with $\Re e(w_1)=\varepsilon$, $\Re e(w_2)=0$ and H_2 instead of H_1 with

$$\begin{aligned}H_2(s,w_1,w_2,u_1,u_2):=&\frac{\Gamma(2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s-w_1+u_1)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+s+w_2-u_2)}\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s-w_1+u_1)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s-w_2+u_2)}{\Gamma(1-2s-w_1-w_2+u_1+u_2)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.19}$$

5.2.1. *Shifting the s-contour.* The goal here is to move the s-line on the right to make the h-summation absolutely convergent and bring up the zeta function. We will see that we catch some poles whose contributions seem to be big. Fortunately, the arithmetical sum over $d|q$ cancels these extra factors and this is the reason why we did not separate it at the beginning of Section 3. We treat here only $\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)$ since the other is completely similar by changing $w_1\leftrightarrow w_2$ in our arguments. Since we deal with the s,w_1,w_2-integrals, we can put the differential operator $\mathfrak{D}_\gamma$ outside and only focus on the following quantity :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}:=&\frac{1}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{d|q}\phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right)\sum_{b|h}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{(0)}\int_{(\varepsilon)}\widetilde{W}_{N,M}(w_1,w_2)\\ &\times\int_{(\varepsilon)}\frac{G(s)q^{2s}H_1(s,w_1,w_2,u_1,u_2)}{\ell_1^{1/2-s-w_1+u_1}\ell_2^{1/2-s-w_2+u_2}(hd)^{2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2}}\frac{ds}{s}dw_2dw_1.\end{aligned}\tag{5.20}$$

We now move the s-line of integration to $\Re e(s)=1/2-\varepsilon/3$, passing a simple pole at $s=1/2-w_2+u_2$ coming from the factor $\Gamma(1/2-s-w_2+u_2)$ in the function $H_1(s,w_1,w_2,u_1,u_2)$. Note that since we moved to the right, the residue has to be taken with the minus sign.

Hence we obtain that $\mathcal{I} = -\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{I}'$ where $\mathcal{I}'$ is the same as (5.20) but with $\Re e(s) = 1/2 - \varepsilon/3$ and the residue part is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{3}{2\phi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \sum_{b|h} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(0)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \widetilde{W_{N,M}}(w_1, w_2) \times \frac{G(1/2 - w_2 + u_2) q^{1-2w_2+2u_2}}{\ell_1^{w_2-w_1+u_1-u_2} (hd)^{1+w_1-w_2+u_2-u_1}} dw_2 dw_1. \tag{5.21}$$

In $\mathcal{R}$, we shift the w_1-contour to $\Re e(w_1) = 2\varepsilon$, passing no pole. Since $\Re e(1 + w_1 - w_2 + u_2 - u_1) > 1$, we can switch the h-summation with these two integrals, obtaining

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{3}{2\phi^*(q)} \sum_{d|q} \phi(d)\mu\left(\frac{q}{d}\right) \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \widetilde{W_{N,M}}(w_1, w_2) \times \zeta(1 + w_1 - w_2 + u_2 - u_1) \frac{G(1/2 - w_2 + u_2) q^{1-2w_2+2u_2}}{\ell_1^{w_2-w_1+u_1-u_2} (bd)^{1+w_1-w_2+u_2-u_1}} dw_2 dw_1. \tag{5.22}$$

Now we deal with $\mathcal{I}'$. Since $\Re e(2s + w_1 + w_2 - u_1 - u_2) > 1$, we can also switch the h-summation with the three integrals. Once we have done this, we move the s-line to $\Re e(s) = \varepsilon$, passing two poles : one at $2s + w_1 + w_2 - u_1 - u_2 = 1$ coming from the new factor $\zeta(2s + w_1 + w_2 - u_1 - u_2)$ and the other again at $s = 1/2 - w_2 + u_2$. Hence we have (this time the residues have to be taken with positive signs) $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}'' + \mathcal{R}' + \mathscr{R}$ where $\mathcal{R}'$ is the same as (5.22), but with $\Re e(w_1) = 0$ instead of 2ε and $\mathscr{R}$ is the residue at $2s + w_1 + w_2 - u_1 - u_2 = 1$. In summary, we obtained the following decomposition of (5.20) :

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}'' + \mathcal{R}' - \mathcal{R} + \mathscr{R}. \tag{5.23}$$

Lemma 5.1. *With the above notations, we have*

$$|\mathcal{R}' - \mathcal{R}| + |\mathscr{R}| = O((qb)^{-1+\varepsilon}).$$

Proof. We begin with $\mathcal{R}' - \mathcal{R}$. Since the only difference between these two expressions is the w_1-contour, we want to shift it in $\mathcal{R}$ to $\Re e(w_1) = 0$. Before doing this, we switch the arithmetic sum over d with the s_i-integrals, obtaining

$$\mathcal{R} = -\frac{3}{2\phi^*(q)} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(2\varepsilon)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \widetilde{W_{N,M}}(w_1, w_2) \frac{G(1/2 - w_2 + u_2) q^{1-2w_2+2u_2}}{\ell_1^{w_2-w_1+u_1-u_2} b^{1+w_1-w_2+u_2-u_1}} \times \zeta(1 + w_1 - w_2 + u_2 - u_1) \left(\frac{\phi(q)}{q^{1+w_1-w_2+u_2-u_1}} - 1 \right) dw_2 dw_1.$$

From the obvious observation that

$$\frac{\phi(q)}{q^{1+w_1-w_2+u_2-u_1}} - 1 = \left(q^{w_2-w_1+u_1-u_2} - 1\right) - \frac{1}{q^{1+w_1-w_2+u_2-u_1}},$$

we can separate $\mathcal{R}$ as a sum of two terms $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}_1 + \mathcal{R}_2$, according to the above decomposition. In the second expression, we can average trivially over the s_i-integrals, obtaining the bound $O((qb)^{-1+\varepsilon})$. In $\mathcal{R}_1$, since the pole of the zeta function at $w_1 - u_1 = w_2 - u_2$ is cancelled by the factor $q^{w_2-w_1+u_1-u_2} - 1$, we can shift the w_1-line to $\Re e(w_1) = 0$. Writing the same decomposition for $\mathcal{R}'$, namely $\mathcal{R}' = \mathcal{R}'_1 + \mathcal{R}'_2$, we obtain that $\mathcal{R}'_1 = \mathcal{R}_1$ and $\mathcal{R}'_2 = O((qb)^{-1+\varepsilon})$. We play the same game for $\mathscr{R}$. We have, after summing over $d|q$,

$$\mathscr{R} = \frac{1}{2\phi^*(q)(2\pi i)^2} \int_{(0)} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \widetilde{W_{N,M}}(w_1, w_2) \frac{G(\frac{1-w_1-w_2+u_1+u_2}{2}) q^{1-w_1-w_2+u_1+u_2}}{\ell_1^{\frac{w_2-w_1+u_1-u_2}{2}} \ell_2^{\frac{w_1-w_2+u_2-u_1}{2}} b} \times H_1\left(\frac{1 - w_1 - w_2 + u_1 - u_2}{2}, w_1, w_2, u_1, u_2\right) \left(\frac{\phi(q)}{q} - 1\right) dw_2 dw_1,$$

which is bounded by $O((qb)^{-1+\varepsilon})$. □

We substitute the decomposition (5.23) of $\mathcal{I}$ together with Lemma 5.1 in the expression (5.17) of $\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)$. After doing this, we only retain in the d-summation the case where $d=q$; the other contributes $O(q^{-1+\varepsilon})$. We collect the previous computations in the following proposition.

Proposition 5.2. *The quantity defined by (5.17) is equal, up to $O(q^{-1+\varepsilon})$, to*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{C}_1(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q) &= 2\sum_{a,b\geqslant 1}\frac{\mu(a)(\ell_1\ell_2,ab)}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}a^2b}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int\limits_{(0)}\int\limits_{(0)}\frac{\widetilde{W_{N,M}}(w_1,w_2)}{\ell_1^{-w_1}\ell_2^{-w_2}}\\ &\times\int\limits_{(\varepsilon)}\frac{G(s)}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{-s}}\mathfrak{D}_\gamma\cdot\Big\{\frac{(\ell_1,ab)^{2u_1}(\ell_2,ab)^{2u_2}}{a^{2u_1+2u_2}}\\ &\times\frac{\zeta(2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2)H_1(s,w_1,w_2,u_1,u_2)}{\ell_1^{u_1}\ell_2^{u_2}b^{2s+w_1+w_2+u_1+u_2}q^{w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2}}\Big\}\frac{ds}{s}dw_2dw_1.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 5.3. The previous Proposition is also valid for $\mathcal{C}_2(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)$ but with H_2 replaced of H_1.

5.2.2. *Adding the missing pairs* (N,M). We recall that at this step, the variables N and M belong to the set

$$\mathscr{O}=\Big\{(N,M)\mid 1\leqslant M\leqslant N,\ \frac{N}{M}\leqslant q^{1-2\theta-2\eta},\ NM\leqslant q^{2+\varepsilon}\Big\}.$$

If we could add all the other pairs (N,M) to complete the partition of unity, then we could use (see § 6 [You11])

Lemma 5.4. *Let $F(s_1,s_2)$ be a holomorphic function in the strip $a<\Re e(s_i)<b$ with $a<0<b$ that decays rapidly to zero in each variable (in the imaginary direction). Then we have*

$$\sum_{N,M}\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{(s_1)}\int_{(s_2)}\widetilde{W_{N,M}}(s_1,s_2)F(s_1,s_2)ds_2ds_1=F(0,0).$$

Proof. Let $f(x,y)$ be the inverse Mellin transform of $F(s_1,s_2)$; then the left handside equals

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{N,M}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty f(x,y)\Big(\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{(s_1)}\int_{(s_2)}\widetilde{W_{N,M}}(s_1,s_2)x^{s_1}y^{s_2}ds_2ds_1\Big)\frac{dxdy}{xy}\\ &=\sum_{N,M}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty f(x,y)W_{N,M}(x^{-1},y^{-1})\frac{dxdy}{xy}\\ &=\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty f(x,y)\frac{dxdy}{xy}=F(0,0),\end{aligned}$$

and the lemma is proved. □

In order to apply Lemma 5.4, we have the following result which allows us to add all the missing pairs (N,M) at the cost of a negligible error.

Lemma 5.5. *The quantity defined in Proposition 5.2 satisfies the following bound*

$$\mathcal{C}_i(\ell_1,\ell_2,N,M;q)\ll q^\varepsilon L^2\min\Big\{\Big(\frac{M}{N}\Big)^{1/2},\Big(\frac{q^2}{MN}\Big)^C,\frac{(NM)^{1/2}}{q}\Big\},$$

where in the second estimation, the implied constant depends on C.

Proof. This lemma is obtained by moving suitably the different lines of integration. By suitably, we mean that we need to avoid the poles coming from the three different factors (we focus on $\mathcal{C}_1$)

$$\zeta(2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2)\ ,\ \Gamma(2s+w_1+w_2-u_1-u_2)\ ,\ \Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s-w_2+u_2).$$

In other words, after each manipulation, we must have (recall that u_i are arbitrarily small)

$$0 < \Re e(2s + w_1 + w_2) < 1 \quad \textbf{and} \quad \Re e(s + w_i) < 1/2, \ i = 1, 2.$$

For the first bound, we just shift the w_2-contour to $\Re e(w_2) = 1/2 - 2\varepsilon$ and then, the w_1-contour to $\Re e(w_1) = -1/2 + 2\varepsilon$.

For the second bound, we fix a constant $C > 1$ and we shift the w_i-contours to $\Re e(w_i) = -\varepsilon/4^{2C}$. The first step is to move to $\Re e(s) = 1/2$ and then to $\Re e(w_i) = -1/2 + \varepsilon/4^{2C}$. The second step is : $\Re e(s) = 1 - 2\varepsilon/4^{2C}$ and then $\Re e(w_i) = -1 + 4\varepsilon/4^{2C}$. Again, the third step is $\Re e(s) = 3/2 - 8\varepsilon/4^{2C}$ and $\Re e(w_i) = -3/2 + 16\varepsilon/4^{2C}$. It follows that after the j^{th} step, we are at ($j \geqslant 2$)

$$\Re e(s) = \frac{j}{2} - \frac{4^{j-1}\varepsilon}{2 \cdot 4^{2C}} \quad \text{and} \quad \Re e(w_i) = -\frac{j}{2} + \frac{4^{j-1}\varepsilon}{4^{2C}}.$$

Taking $j = [2C]$ finishes the proof.

The last part is obtained by shifting $\Re e(w_i)$ to $1/2 - 2\varepsilon$. □

This Lemma allows us to sum over all (N, M), getting (recall Decomposition (5.16))

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1, \ell_2; q) &:= \sum_{N,M} \mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M; q) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{N,M} \mathcal{C}_i(\ell_1, \ell_2, N, M) \\ &= \frac{2}{2\pi i} \int_{(\varepsilon)} \mathcal{F}(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q) \frac{ds}{s}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

where the function $s \mapsto \mathcal{F}(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q) := \mathfrak{D}_\gamma \cdot \left\{ \frac{G(s) H(s, u_1, u_2) \zeta(2s - u_1 - u_2) \mathcal{L}(s, u_1, u_2, \ell_1, \ell_2)}{(\ell_1 \ell_2)^{1/2} q^{-u_1 - u_2}} \right\}, \tag{5.25}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} H(s, u_1, u_2) &:= H_1(s, 0, 0, u_1, u_2) + H_2(s, 0, 0, u_1, u_2), \\ \mathcal{L}(s, u_1, u_2; \ell_1, \ell_2) &= \ell_1^{s-u_1} \ell_2^{s-u_2} L(s, u_1, u_2; \ell_1, \ell_2), \end{aligned}$$

and $L(s, u_1, u_2; \ell_1, \ell_2)$ is the Dirichlet series given by

$$L(s, u_1, u_2; \ell_1, \ell_2) := \sum_{a \geqslant 1} \sum_{b \geqslant 1} \frac{\mu(a) (\ell_1, ab)^{1+2u_1} (\ell_2, ab)^{1+2u_2}}{a^{2+2u_1+2u_2} b^{1+2s+u_1+u_2}}. \tag{5.26}$$

5.3. **A Symmetry for the Function $\mathcal{F}(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q)$.** As we note actually in Expression (5.25), we have completely removed powers of q in the s-aspect in (5.24). Thus the usual method of evaluation consisting in shifting the s-contour to the left (as in section 3.2) to get a negative power of q and taking the residues passed along way cannot work here. As it turns out, we will be able to evaluate explicitly the s-part through a residue at zero since the function $s \mapsto \mathcal{F}(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q)$ is even in s. This affirmation does not follow directly from definitions (5.25) and (5.26) and recquires a finer analysis on the Dirichlet series $\mathcal{L}$, the functional equation for the Riemann zeta function and a crucial identity for the function H.

5.3.1. *Analysis of $\mathcal{L}(s, u_1, u_2, \ell_1, \ell_2)$.* We will use the following notations

$$r = 2 + 2u_1 + 2u_2 \quad \text{and} \quad t = 1 + 2s + u_1 + u_2 \tag{5.27}$$

and factorize $\mathcal{L}$ as an infinite product (recall that ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are cubefree and coprime)

$$\mathcal{L} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell_1 \ell_2} \mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{p} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} || \ell_1} \mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{p} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^2 | \ell_1} \mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{p} \prod_{\mathfrak{p} || \ell_2} \mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{p} \prod_{\mathfrak{p}^2 | \ell_2} \mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{p}, \tag{5.28}$$

where for each prime $\mathfrak{p}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}^{v_\mathfrak{p}(\ell_1)(s-u_1)} \mathfrak{p}^{v_\mathfrak{p}(\ell_2)(s-u_2)} \sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant a \leqslant 1 \\ b \geqslant 0}} \frac{(-1)^a \mathfrak{p}^{\sum_{i=1}^{2} \min(a+b, v_\mathfrak{p}(\ell_i))(1+2u_i)}}{\mathfrak{p}^{ar+bs}}.$$

We will compute the above expression according to the different cases appearing in decomposition (5.28). When $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \ell_1\ell_2$, we easily get

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^r}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^t}\right)^{-1}. \tag{5.29}$$

If $\mathfrak{p}||\ell_i$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \left(\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{-s+u_i}} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{t-s+u_i}} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{t-s-1-u_i}} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{r-s-1-u_i}}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^t}\right)^{-1}. \tag{5.30}$$

Finally, assuming $\mathfrak{p}^2|\ell_i$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(&\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{-2s+2u_i}} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{t-2s-1}} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{r-2s-1}} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{t-2s+2u_i}} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{2t-2s-1}} \\ &+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{2t-2s-2-2u_i}} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{t+r-2s-1}} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{t+r-2s-2-2u_i}}\Big)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^t}\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

From (5.29), (5.30), (5.31) and the change of variables (5.27), we infer the following factorization

$$\mathcal{L}(s, u_1, u_2; \ell_1, \ell_2) = \frac{\zeta(1 + 2s + u_1 + u_2)}{\zeta(2 + 2u_1 + 2u_2)}\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_1; s, u_1, u_2)\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_2; s, u_2, u_1), \tag{5.32}$$

where $n \mapsto \boldsymbol{\delta}(n; s, u_1, u_2)$ is the multiplicative function supported on cubefree integers and whose values on $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}^2$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}; s, u_1, u_2) := &\left\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{s+u_2}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{1+2u_1}}\right) + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{-s+u_1}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{1+2u_2}}\right)\right\} \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{2+2u_1+2u_2}}\right)^{-1},\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^2; s, u_1, u_2) := &\left\{\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{2s+2u_2}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{1+2u_1}}\right) + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{-2s+2u_1}}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{1+2u_2}}\right)\right. \\ &\left.+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{u_1+u_2}}\left(1 + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{2u_1+2u_2}} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{1+2u_1}} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{1+2u_2}}\right)\right\} \\ &\times \left(1 - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^{2+2u_1+2u_2}}\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.34}$$

5.3.2. *Parity of* $\mathcal{F}(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q)$. We split the differential operator $\mathfrak{D}_\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^2 \mathfrak{D}_\gamma^i$ with $\mathfrak{D}_\gamma^0 = 4\gamma^2$, $\mathfrak{D}_\gamma^1 = 2\gamma(\partial_{u_1} + \partial_{u_2})|_{u_i=0}$, $\mathfrak{D}_\gamma^2 = \partial_{u_i=0}^2$ and separate the function $\mathcal{F}(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q) = \sum_{i=0}^2 \mathcal{F}_i(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q)$ according to this decomposition. We will show that each $\mathcal{F}_i$ is even in s. For this, we exploit the factorization (5.32) and define

$$\begin{aligned}A(s, u_1, u_2; q) &:= \frac{G(s)H(s, u_1, u_2)\zeta(2s - u_1 - u_2)\zeta(1 + 2s + u_1 + u_2)}{\zeta(2 + 2u_1 + 2u_2)q^{-u_1-u_2}}, \\ B(s, u_1, u_2; \ell_1, \ell_2) &:= \frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_1; s, u_1, u_2)\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_2; s, u_2, u_1)}{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{1/2}},\end{aligned} \tag{5.35}$$

in order to have

$$\mathcal{F}(s, \ell_1, \ell_2; q) = \mathfrak{D}_\gamma \cdot \{A(s, u_1, u_2; q)B(s, u_1, u_2; \ell_1, \ell_2)\}.$$

We also mention the functional equation for the Riemann zeta function

$$\zeta(1 + 2s) = \pi^{1/2+2s}\zeta(-2s)\frac{\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2} + s)}, \tag{5.36}$$

and a crucial identity for the function $H(s,u_1,u_2)$ (see (8.5)-(8.6) in [You11])

$$H(s,u_1,u_2)=\pi^{1/2}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{-u_1-u_2+2s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+u_1+u_2-2s}{2}\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+u_1-s}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+u_2-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-u_1+s}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-u_2+s}{2}\right)}. \tag{5.37}$$

Lemma 5.6. *Each of the following functions are even in s : $A(s,0,0;q)$, $B(s,0,0;\ell_1,\ell_2)$, $(\partial_{u_1}+\partial_{u_2})|_{u_i=0}B$, $\partial_{u_i=0}A$, $\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}A$ and $\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}B$.*

Proof. We begin with $A(s,0,0;q)$. Recalling Definition (3.7) of $G(s)$ and using the Identity (5.37), we have

$$A(s,0,0;q)=Q(s)\frac{\pi^{1/2-2s}\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+s}{2}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{2}\right)^2}{\zeta(2)\Gamma(1/4)^4}\zeta(2s)\zeta(1+2s)\frac{\Gamma(s)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s)}.$$

Applying now the functional equation (5.36) to $\zeta(1+2s)$, we obtain

$$A(s,0,0;q)=Q(s)\pi\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+s}{2}\right)^2\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{2}\right)^2}{\zeta(2)\Gamma(1/4)^4}\zeta(2s)\zeta(-2s)\frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(-s)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s)\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+s)},$$

which is of course even. For the function $B(s,0,0;\ell_1,\ell_2)$, we easily see from Definitions (5.33) and (5.34) that it is even, since each local factor is even. To compute the others, it will be very convenient to express them as logarithm derivatives. To be more precise, we can express $\partial_{u_i=0}A$ as

$$\partial_{u_i=0}A=A(s,0,0;q)\left(\frac{H_{u_i}}{H}+\frac{\zeta'(1+2s)}{\zeta(1+2s)}-\frac{\zeta'(2s)}{\zeta(2s)}-2\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}+\log q\right).$$

On one hand, we have using (5.37),

$$2\frac{H_{u_i}}{H}=-\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)}-\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2}-s)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}-s)}+\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{2}\right)}+\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+s}{2}\right)}.$$

On the other hand, we have by applying the logarithm derivative to (5.36),

$$2\frac{\zeta'(1+2s)}{\zeta(1+2s)}=2\log(\pi)-2\frac{\zeta'(-2s)}{\zeta(-2s)}-\frac{\Gamma'(-s)}{\Gamma(-s)}-\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2}+s)}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2}+s)}.$$

It follows that $\partial_{u_i}A$ is even. Similarily, we can compute $\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}A$ in a fancy way :

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}A=A(s,0,0;q)\Bigg\{&\left(\frac{H_{u_1}}{H}+\frac{\zeta'(1+2s)}{\zeta(1+2s)}-\frac{\zeta'(2s)}{\zeta(2s)}-2\frac{\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)}+\log q\right)^2\\&+\partial_{u_2=0}\left(\frac{H_{u_1}(s,0,u_2)}{H(s,0,u_2)}+\frac{\zeta'(1+2s+u_2)}{\zeta(1+2s+u_2)}-\frac{\zeta'(2s-u_2)}{\zeta(2s-u_2)}-\frac{\zeta'(2+2u_2)}{\zeta(2+2u_2)}\right)\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

We already know that the first line is even. For the second, we have by (5.37),

$$\frac{H_{u_1}(s,0,u_2)}{H(s,0,u_2)}=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{-u_2+2s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{-u_2+2s}{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{1+u_2-2s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+u_2-2s}{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}-s}{2}\right)}+\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma'\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}+s}{2}\right)},$$

and using again (5.36), we infer

$$\frac{\zeta'(1+2s+u_2)}{\zeta(1+2s+u_2)}=\log(\pi)-\frac{\zeta'(-2s-u_2)}{\zeta(-2s-u_2)}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{-2s-u_2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{-2s-u_2}{2})}-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1+2s+u_2}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1+2s+u_2}{2})}.$$

Hence the parenthesis in the second line of $\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}$ is preserved under the action of $\partial_{u_2=0}$. It remains to evaluate $(\partial_{u_1}+\partial_{u_2})|_{u_i=0}B$ and $\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}B$. In this case precisely, it is very useful to express as logarithm derivatives since $B(s,u_1,u_2;\ell_1,\ell_2)$ can be written as a product of

the primes dividing $\ell_1\ell_2$ and the logarithm derivative transforms this product into a sum in which each term will be even. Indeed, we compute

$$(\partial_{u_1}+\partial_{u_2})|_{u_i=0}B = B(s,0,0;\ell_1,\ell_2)\sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{\mathfrak{p}|\ell_i}\frac{(\partial_{u_1}+\partial_{u_2})|_{u_i=0}\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\ell_i)};s,u_1,u_2)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\ell_i)};s,0,0)},$$

and it is easy to check that each term appearing in the sum above is even. We mention that the $\partial_{u_i=0}\boldsymbol{\delta}$ are not individually even; it is $(\partial_{u_1}+\partial_{u_2})|_{u_i=0}$ that creates the symmetry (see (5.38)). Finally, we have for the last one (recall that u_1 and u_2 are swapped when we deal with ℓ_2)

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}B = B(s,0,0;\ell_1,\ell_2)\Bigg\{&\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_1}(\ell_1;s,0,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_1;s,0,0)}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_2}(\ell_2;s,0,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_2;s,0,0)}\right)\\ &\times\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_2}(\ell_1;s,0,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_1;s,0,0)}+\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_1}(\ell_2;s,0,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_2;s,0,0)}\right)+\partial_{u_2}|_{u_2=0}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_1}(\ell_1;s,0,u_2)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_1;s,0,u_2)}\right)\\ &+\partial_{u_1}|_{u_1=0}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_2}(\ell_2;s,u_1,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_2;s,u_1,0)}\right)\Bigg\}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the symmetry

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_1}(\ell_i;-s,0,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_i;-s,0,0)} = \frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_2}(\ell_i;s,0,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_i,s,0,0)}, \tag{5.38}$$

we remark that the product of the two parentheses is invariant under $s\leftrightarrow -s$ since it just switches the two factors. We conclude this Lemma by checking that the local value (at a prime $\mathfrak{p}$) of the two order two terms is given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_1,u_2}(\mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\ell_i)};s,0,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\ell_i)};s,0,0)}-\frac{\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_1}(\mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\ell_i)};s,0,0)\boldsymbol{\delta}_{u_2}(\mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\ell_i)};s,0,0)}{\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\ell_i)};s,0,0)^2}\right)$$

and each individual term is even by a direct computation and (5.38) (using of course (5.33) and (5.34)). □

Proposition 5.7. *The function $\mathcal{F}_i(s,\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ is even for $i=0,1,2$.*

Proof. We do not mention the arguments of the functions and write A_{u_i} instead of $\partial_{u_i=0}A$. Since $A_{u_1}=A_{u_2}$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = 4\gamma^2AB,\ \mathcal{F}_1 = 2\gamma(A_{u_1}+A_{u_2})B+A(B_{u_1}+B_{u_2}),$$
$$\mathcal{F}_2 = A_{u_1u_2}B+(B_{u_1}+B_{u_2})A_{u_i}+AB_{u_1u_2},$$

and the conclusion follows directly from Lemma 5.6. □

Corollary 5.8. *The off-diagonal main term (5.24) equals*

$$\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q) = \sum_{i=0}^{2}\mathrm{Res}_{s=0}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}_i(s,\ell_1,\ell_2;q)}{s}\right\}. \tag{5.39}$$

5.3.3. *A note on odd characters.* In this paper, we concentrated exclusively on even characters. The contribution of the odd characters carries through in the same way with slight changes that we mention now. First of all, the function $G(s)$ defined in (3.7) becomes

$$G(s) = \pi^{-2s}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{3/2+s}{2}\right)^4}{\Gamma(3/4)^4}Q(s),$$

so we need to remove the original $G(s)$ in the diagonal main term (3.10). The estimations of the error terms as did in Sections 4.1 and 4.2 carry through as before. The most significant change appears in the treatment of the off-diagonal main term. Here, the last gamma factor coming from the dual terms (c.f (5.14)) is subtracted in the definition of H instead to be

added. Fortunately, the parity of the function $\mathcal{F}(s,\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ is preserved trough a similar identity (apply Lemma 8.4 in [You11] with $a=1/2-s+u_1$ and $b=1/2-s+u_2$)

$$H(s,u_1,u_2)=\pi^{1/2}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{2s-u_1-u_2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-2s+u_1+u_2}{2}\right)}\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{3}{2}-s+u_1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{3}{2}-s+u_2}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{3}{2}+s-u_1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{\frac{3}{2}+s-u_2}{2}\right)}. \tag{5.40}$$

6. The Mollified Fourth Moment

In this last section, we exploit Theorem 1.1 to establish an asymptotic formula for a mollified fourth moment of the form

$$\mathcal{M}^4(q):=\frac{1}{\phi^*(q)}\sum_{\substack{\chi \pmod q\\ \chi\neq 1}}|L(\chi,1/2)|^4|M(\chi)|^4, \tag{6.1}$$

where $M(\chi)$ is our mollifier which presents as a short linear form

$$M(\chi):=\sum_{\ell\geqslant 1}\frac{\boldsymbol{x}(\ell)\chi(\ell)}{\ell^{1/2}}, \tag{6.2}$$

and the coefficients $\boldsymbol{x}(\ell)$ are given by

$$\boldsymbol{x}(\ell):=\mu(\ell)\delta_{\ell\leqslant L}P\left(\frac{\log(L/\ell)}{\log L}\right), \tag{6.3}$$

for some suitable polynomial $P\in\mathbb{R}[X]$ that satisfies $P(0)=0$ and $P(1)=1$. The parameter L will be a small power of q ($L=q^\lambda$ with $\lambda>0$) and μ is the Möbius function. Now for $P(X)=\sum_{k\geqslant 1}a_kX^k\in\mathbb{R}[X]$, we define

$$\widehat{P_L}(s):=\sum_{k\geqslant 1}a_k\frac{k!}{(s\log L)^k}. \tag{6.4}$$

Then we have the following integral representation which can be easily deduced using contour shift.

Lemma 6.1. *For $L>0$ not an integer and $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\delta_{\ell\leqslant L}P\left(\frac{\log(L/\ell)}{\log L}\right)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{(2)}\frac{L^s}{\ell^s}\widehat{P_L}(s)\frac{ds}{s}.$$

6.1. **Reduction to the Twisted Fourth Moment.** Opening the fourth power in (6.1), we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}^4(q)=\sum_{a,b,c,d}\sum\frac{\boldsymbol{x}(a)\boldsymbol{x}(b)\boldsymbol{x}(c)\boldsymbol{x}(d)}{(abcd)^{1/2}}\mathcal{T}^4(ab,cd;q).$$

To get the primality condition between ab and cd, we explicit the coefficients $\boldsymbol{x}$ and then use the integral representation provided by Lemma 6.1

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^4(q)=&\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\prod_{i=1}^4L^{z_i}\widehat{P_L}(z_i)\\&\times\sum_{a,b,c,d}\sum\frac{\mu(a)\mu(b)\mu(c)\mu(d)}{a^{1/2+z_1}b^{1/2+z_2}c^{1/2+z_3}d^{1/2+z_4}}\mathcal{T}^4(ab,cd;q)\frac{dz_1dz_2dz_3dz_4}{z_1z_2z_3z_4}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

For the sum in the second line, we group the variables $ab=\ell_1$, $cd=\ell_2$ and then set $d=(\ell_1,\ell_2)$, getting that this sum equals

$$\sum_{\substack{d\geqslant 1\\(d\ell_1\ell_2,q)=1}}\sum_{(\ell_1,\ell_2)=1}\sum\frac{\mu_{2,z_1-z_2}(d\ell_1)\mu_{2,z_3-z_4}(d\ell_2)}{d^{1+z_1+z_3}\ell_1^{1/2+z_1}\ell_2^{1/2+z_3}}\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1,\ell_2;q), \tag{6.6}$$

where for any complex number $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, $\mu_{2,\nu}$ is the inverse of the generalized divisor function $\sigma_\nu(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^\nu$ for the Dirichlet convolution, namely

$$\mu_{2,\nu}(n) = \sum_{ab=n} \mu(a)\mu(b)b^\nu. \tag{6.7}$$

In particular this is a multiplicative function supported on cubefree integers and whose values on prime powers are given by

$$\mu_{2,\nu}(\mathfrak{p}) = -1 - \mathfrak{p}^\nu \quad , \quad \mu_{2,\nu}(\mathfrak{p}^2) = \mathfrak{p}^\nu \quad \mu_{2,\nu}(\mathfrak{p}^j) = 0 \ , \ \forall\ j \geqslant 3. \tag{6.8}$$

Inserting (6.6) in (6.5), we see (by shifting the z_i-line to $\Re e(z_i) = C > 1$) that we can assume that $\ell_i \leqslant L^{2+\varepsilon}$ for an error cost of $O(q^{-100})$ because L is a positive power of q. We are now in position to apply Theorem 1.1 to $\mathcal{T}^4(\ell_1, \ell_2; q)$. Once we applied the Theorem, we can again remove the condition $\ell_i \leqslant L^{2+\varepsilon}$ for the same cost and sum over all ℓ_i. The decomposition into a diagonal, off-diagonal and error term leads to the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{M}^4(q) = \mathcal{M}^4_D(q) + \mathcal{M}^4_{OD}(q) + \mathcal{E}(L, q), \tag{6.9}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^4_D(q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)} \prod_{i=1}^4 L^{z_i}\widehat{P_L}(z_i) \sum_{\substack{d\geqslant 1\\ (d\ell_1\ell_2,q)=1}} \sum\sum_{(\ell_1,\ell_2)=1} \sum \frac{\mu_{2,z_1-z_2}(d\ell_1)\mu_{2,z_3-z_4}(d\ell_2)}{d^{1+z_1+z_3}\ell_1^{1/2+z_1}\ell_2^{1/2+z_3}} \\ \times \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{T}_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q) + \mathcal{T}^-_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)\right)\frac{dz_1dz_2dz_3dz_4}{z_1z_2z_3z_4},\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}^4_{OD}(q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)} \prod_{i=1}^4 L^{z_i}\widehat{P_L}(z_i) \sum_{\substack{d\geqslant 1\\ (d\ell_1\ell_2,q)=1}} \sum\sum_{(\ell_1,\ell_2)=1} \sum \frac{\mu_{2,z_1-z_2}(d\ell_1)\mu_{2,z_3-z_4}(d\ell_2)}{d^{1+z_1+z_3}\ell_1^{1/2+z_1}\ell_2^{1/2+z_3}} \\ \times \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q) + \mathcal{OD}^{MT,-}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)\right)\frac{dz_1dz_2dz_3dz_4}{z_1z_2z_3z_4},\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{E}(L,q) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)} \prod_{i=1}^4 L^{z_i}\widehat{P_L}(z_i) \sum_{\substack{d\geqslant 1\\ (d\ell_1\ell_2,q)=1}} \sum\sum_{(\ell_1,\ell_2)=1} \sum \frac{\mu_{2,z_1-z_2}(d\ell_1)\mu_{2,z_3-z_4}(d\ell_2)}{d^{1+z_1+z_3}\ell_1^{1/2+z_1}\ell_2^{1/2+z_3}} \\ \times O\left(q^\varepsilon \frac{(\ell_1\ell_2)^{3/2}L^{10}}{q^\eta}\right)\frac{dz_1dz_2dz_3dz_4}{z_1z_2z_3z_4},\end{aligned} \tag{6.12}$$

where $\mathcal{T}_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$, $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ are respectively given by (3.10), (5.39) and $\mathcal{T}^-$, $\mathcal{OD}^{MT,-}$ are the contribution of the odd characters (see § 5.3.3 for the necessary changes). We can immediately evaluate the error term $\mathcal{E}(L,q)$. For this, we move the z_i-lines to $\Re e(z_i) = 2+\varepsilon$, making all summations absolutely convergent, obtaining therefore

$$\mathcal{E}(L,q) = O\left(q^\varepsilon \frac{L^{18}}{q^\eta}\right), \tag{6.13}$$

which makes sense as long as

$$\lambda < \frac{\eta}{18} = \frac{1-6\theta}{18\cdot 14} = \frac{11}{8064} \approx \frac{1}{733}. \tag{6.14}$$

6.2. **Evaluation of $\mathcal{M}^4_D(q)$.** We focus on $\mathcal{T}_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ since the other gives the same result. Indeed, the change is on the function $G(s)$ but we will see that the terms which contribute in the asymptotic formula only involve $G(0)$, which is equal to 1 in any cases. We now recall that $\mathcal{T}_D(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ is given by the following residue (up to some error term, see Proposition 3.2)

$$2\mathrm{Res}_{s=0}\left\{\frac{G(s)q^{2s}}{16s^5\zeta(2+4s)}F(\ell_1\ell_2;s)H(s)\right\},$$

where we factorize $\zeta(1+2s) = (2s)^{-1}H(s)$ with $H(0)=1$. Since it is a pole of order five, this residue can be expressed as a linear combination in which the sum of the order of derivation of each function (except s^{-5}) is four, but it turns out that only the terms where $G(s)H(s)\zeta(2+4s)^{-1}$ are not derived that contribute in our asymptotic formula ; the contribution of the others are at most $O_\lambda(\log^{-1} q)$. Hence we infer

$$\mathcal{M}_D^4(q) = \frac{1}{8\zeta(2)} \sum_{i+j=4} (i!j!)^{-1} (2\log q)^j \mathcal{M}_D^4(i) + O_\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\log q}\right), \tag{6.15}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M}_D^4(i) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)} \prod_{i=1}^4 L^{z_i}\widehat{P_L}(z_i)\partial_{s=0}^i \mathcal{L}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)\frac{dz_1dz_2dz_3dz_4}{z_1z_2z_3z_4}, \tag{6.16}$$

and $\mathcal{L}(s,z_1,...,z_4)$ is the Dirichlet series defined by (recall the definition of $F(\ell_1\ell_2;s)$ given in Proposition 3.2)

$$\mathcal{L}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) := \sum_{d\geqslant 1}\sum_{(\ell_1,\ell_2)=1}\sum \frac{\mu_{2,z_1-z_2}(d\ell_1)\mu_{2,z_3-z_4}f(\ell_1;1+2s)f(\ell_2;1+2s)}{d^{1+z_1+z_3}\ell_1^{1+z_1+s}\ell_2^{1+z_3+s}}. \tag{6.17}$$

Writing $\mathcal{L}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)$ as an Euler product using (6.8) and (3.9) and examining the polar parts leads to

Lemma 6.2. *The Dirichlet series $\mathcal{L}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)$ factorizes as*

$$\mathcal{L}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) = \mathscr{P}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)\prod_{i=1}^2 \left(\frac{\zeta(1+z_1+z_{i+2})\zeta(1+z_2+z_{i+2})}{\zeta^2(1+z_i+s)\zeta^2(1+z_{i+2}+s)}\right), \tag{6.18}$$

where $\mathscr{P}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)$ is an explicit Euler product which is absolutely convergent for $\Re e(s), \Re e(z_i) \geqslant 1/11$.

It will also be convenient to isolate the polar parts of the various zeta functions appearing in Lemma 6.2. Namely, we write

$$\mathcal{L}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) = \frac{(z_1+s)^2(z_2+s)^2(z_3+s)^2(z_4+s)^2}{(z_1+z_3)(z_1+z_4)(z_2+z_3)(z_2+z_4)}\mathscr{F}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4), \tag{6.19}$$

where this time $\mathscr{F}(s,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4)$ is an holomorphic function which does not vanish in a domain that we describe now : From the prime number Theorem, we know that there exists an absolute constant $c>0$ such that the Riemann zeta function does not vanish in

$$\Omega = \left\{ s\in\mathbb{C} \;\middle|\; \Re e(s) \geqslant 1 - \frac{c}{\log(2+|\Im m(s)|)}\right\}.$$

The function $\mathscr{F}$ is therefore holomorphic in the domain $\{\Re e(s), \Re e(z_i)\geqslant 1/11\}\cap\{1+z_i+s\in\Omega\ , i=1,...,4\}$.

We insert now the factorization (6.19) in (6.16) and apply the operator $\partial_{s=0}^i$. In this linear combination, we again retain only the terms where $\mathscr{F}$ still not derived since the others will also not contribute in the the formula of Theorem 1.2. This is of course not obvious right now, but it is enough to convince yourself to apply exactly the same calculations that follow from now on, but with $j_s+j_1+...+j_4<4$ and with at least one derivative of $\mathscr{F}$ at $s=0$ in expressions (6.20), (6.21) below. It follows that (6.15) can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{M}_D^4(q) = \frac{1}{8\zeta(2)} \sum_{\substack{j_s+j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4=4\\ 0\leqslant j_k\leqslant 2\ ,\ k=1,...,4}}\sum\sum\sum\sum (2\log q)^{j_s}\mathscr{C}_{j_s,j_1,...,j_4}\mathcal{M}_D^4(j_1,...,j_4;\mathscr{F}) + O_\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\log q}\right), \tag{6.20}$$

where

$$\mathscr{C}_{j_s,j_1,...,j_4} := \boldsymbol{\alpha}(j_1,...,j_4)C_{j_s,j_1,...,j_4},$$

$$C_{j_s,j_1,...,j_4} := \frac{1}{j_s!j_1!j_2!j_3!j_4!},$$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}(j_1,...,j_4) := \prod_{i=1}^{4} \alpha(j_i) \ \ , \ \alpha(0)=1 \ \ \alpha(1)=\alpha(2)=2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_D^4(j_1,...,j_4;\mathscr{F}) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^4} \int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)} \prod_{i=1}^{4} L^{z_i}\widehat{P_L}(z_i)\mathscr{F}(0,z_1,z_2,z_3,z_4) \\ \times \frac{z_1^{2-j_1}z_2^{2-j_2}z_3^{2-j_3}z_4^{2-j_4}}{(z_1+z_3)(z_1+z_4)(z_2+z_3)(z_2+z_4)} \frac{dz_4dz_3dz_2dz_1}{z_4z_3z_2z_1}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.21}$$

By $(*)$ under the integrals, we mean that $1+z_i \in \Omega$ with $\Re e(z_i) > 0$ and furthermore, we want that the real parts sufficiently small so that all future manipulations are justified, for example $1+z_1+...+z_4$ also belongs to Ω.

6.2.1. *Shifting the z_i-contours.* We focus now on the calculation of $\mathcal{M}_D^4(j_1,...,j_4;\mathscr{F})$ for a fixed multi-index $(j_1,...,j_4)$ such that $j_1+...+j_4 \leqslant 4$. We also choose the polynomial in (6.3) to be $P(X)=X^2$. Using the Definition (6.4) of $\widehat{P_L}$ and we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_D^4(j_1,...,j_4;\mathscr{F}) = \frac{16}{(\log L)^8(2\pi i)^4} \int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)} \frac{L^{z_1+z_2+z_3+z_4}\mathscr{F}(0,z_1,...,z_4)}{(z_1+z_3)(z_1+z_4)(z_2+z_3)(z_2+z_4)} \\ \times \frac{dz_4dz_3dz_3dz_1}{z_4^{1+j_4}z_3^{1+j_3}z_2^{1+j_2}z_1^{1+j_1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.22}$$

We start by shifting the z_4-contour left to zero such that $\Re e(z_1+z_2+z_3+z_4)<0$, passing three poles : one of order $1+j_4$ at $z_4=0$ and two of order one at $z_4=-z_1$ and $z_4=-z_2$. Since $\Re e(z_1+z_2+z_3+z_4)<0$, the resulting integral is at most $O(\log^{-8} L)$. We will analyze separately the three poles and find out that each of them contributes.

The pole at $z_4=-z_1$: Since it is a simple pole, the residue at $z_4=-z_1$ is given by

$$\frac{16(-1)^{1+j_4}}{(\log L)^8(2\pi i)^3} \int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)} \frac{L^{z_2+z_3}\mathscr{F}(0,z_1,z_2,z_3,-z_1)}{(z_1+z_3)(z_2+z_3)(z_2-z_1)} \frac{dz_3dz_2dz_1}{z_3^{1+j_3}z_2^{1+j_2}z_1^{2+j_1+j_4}}. \tag{6.23}$$

In this integral, we move the z_3-line such that $\Re e(z_2+z_3)<0$, passing a pole of order $1+j_3$ at $z_3=0$ and one of order one at $z_3=-z_2$. We immediately see that the one at $z_3=-z_2$ contributes at most $O(\log^{-8} L)$. The residue at $z_3=0$ is given by the following linear combination (again we do not take in account the z_3-derivatives of $\mathscr{F}$

$$16(-1)^{1+j_4}\sum_{k+\ell+n=j_3}\sum \boldsymbol{\beta}(k,\ell,n)\frac{(\log L)^{k-8}}{(2\pi i)^2} \int\limits_{(*)}\int\limits_{(*)} \frac{L^{z_2}\mathscr{F}(0,z_1,z_2,0,-z_1)}{(z_2-z_1)z_1^{3+\ell+j_1+j_4}z_2^{2+n+j_2}}dz_2dz_1,$$

where for any $(a,b,c)\in\mathbb{N}^3$, we defined

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}(a,b,c) := \frac{(-1)^{b+c}}{a!}. \tag{6.24}$$

We fix $k+n+\ell=j_3$ and we move the z_2-line to $\Re e(z_2)<0$, passing two poles : one at $z_2=z_1$ of order 1 and the other at $z_2=0$ of order $2+n+j_2$. The last one is easily see to be bounded by $O((\log L)^{1+k+n+j_2-8}) = O((\log L)^{1+j_2+j_3-\ell-8})$ and thus, will contribute at the end at most $O(\log^{-3} q)$ (recall that $L=q^\lambda$). The residue at $z_2=z_1$ equals

$$16(-1)^{1+j_4}\sum_{k+\ell+n=j_3}\sum \boldsymbol{\beta}(k,\ell,n)\frac{(\log L)^{k-8}}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{(*)} \frac{L^{z_1}\mathscr{F}(0,z_1,z_1,0,-z_1)}{z_1^{5+j_1+j_2+j_4+\ell+n}}dz_1.$$

Finally shifting to $\Re e(z_1) < 0$ and we obtain that the above sum is

$$16\mathscr{F}(0,0,0,0,0)\boldsymbol{\gamma}(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4)(\log L)^{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4-4} + O\left((\log L)^{j_1+j_2+j_3+j_4-5}\right), \tag{6.25}$$

with

$$\boldsymbol{\gamma}(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4) := (-1)^{1+j_4}\sum_{k+\ell+n=j_3}\sum \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}(k,\ell,n)}{(4+j_1+j_2+j_4+\ell+n)!}. \tag{6.26}$$

The pole at $z_4 = -z_2$: It is not difficult to see that in fact, the pole at $z_4 = -z_2$ has the same main term as in the previous case. In fact, applying the changes $z_1 \leftrightarrow z_2$ and we see that this residue is given by (6.23), but with the first two variables switched in $\mathscr{F}$. This is not a real problem since the main term only involves $\mathscr{F}(0,0,0,0,0)$.

The pole at $z_4 = 0$: We return to Expression (6.22). The residue at $z_4 = 0$ is given by the linear combination (we do not mention the derivatives of $\mathscr{F}$)

$$16\sum_{k+\ell+n=j_4}\sum \boldsymbol{\beta}(k,\ell,n)(\log L)^{k-8}\mathcal{A}(j_1,...,j_4,k,\ell,n),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta}(k,\ell,n)$ is defined by (6.24) and

$$\mathcal{A}(j_1,...,j_4,k,\ell,n) := \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3}\int_{(*)}\int_{(*)}\int_{(*)} \frac{L^{z_1+z_2+z_3}\mathscr{F}(0,z_1,z_2,z_3,0)dz_3dz_2dz_1}{(z_1+z_3)(z_2+z_3)z_3^{1+j_3}z_2^{2+j_2+n}z_1^{2+j_1+\ell}}.$$

We now move the z_3-line such that $\Re e(z_3) < -\Re e(z_1+z_2)$, passing three poles : one at $z_3 = 0$ of order $1+j_3$, one at $z_3 = -z_1$ of order 1 and the last at $z_3 = -z_2$, that is also simple. We thus get the decomposition

$$\mathcal{A}(j_1,...,j_4,k,\ell,n) = \sum_{i=0}^{2}\mathcal{R}_i(j_1,...,j_4,k,\ell,n) + O(1).$$

Treatment of $\mathcal{R}_0(j_1,...,j_4,k,\ell,n)$: It is routine now to see that

$$\mathcal{R}_0 = \sum_{a+b+c=j_3}\sum \boldsymbol{\beta}(a,b,c)\frac{(\log L)^a}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{(*)}\int_{(*)} \frac{L^{z_1+z_2}\mathscr{F}(0,z_1,z_2,0,0)}{z_1^{3+j_1+\ell+b}z_2^{3+j_2+n+c}}dz_2dz_1.$$

Now moving the z_2-line to $\Re e(z_2) < -\Re e(z_1)$ and then the z_1-contour to $\Re e(z_1) < 0$ and we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_0 = \sum_{a+b+c=j_3}\sum \boldsymbol{\beta}(a,b,c)&\frac{\mathscr{F}(0,0,0,0,0)(\log L)^{4+j_1+j_2+j_3+\ell+n}}{(2+j_2+n+c)!(2+j_1+\ell+b)!}\\ &+ O\left((\log L)^{3+j_1+j_2+j_3+\ell+n}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

Treatment of $\mathcal{R}_1(j_1,...,j_4,k,\ell,n)$: Observing that

$$\mathcal{R}_1 = \frac{(-1)^{1+j_3}}{(2\pi i)^2}\int_{(*)}\int_{(*)} \frac{L^{z_2}\mathscr{F}(0,z_1,z_2,-z_1,0)}{(z_2-z_1)z_1^{3+j_1+j_3+\ell}z_2^{2+j_2+n}}dz_2dz_1,$$

we can proceed as in the previous case (the pole at $z_4 = -z_1$) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_1 = &\frac{(-1)^{1+j_3}\mathscr{F}(0,0,0,0,0)(\log L)^{4+j_1+j_2+j_3+\ell+n}}{(4+j_1+j_2+j_3+\ell+n)!}\\ &+ O\left((\log L)^{3+j_1+j_2+j_3+\ell+n}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

Treatment of $\mathcal{R}_2(j_1,...,j_4,k,\ell,n)$: We find exactly the same term, i.e. $\mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{R}_1$.

6.2.2. *Assembling the main terms.* We define

$$\boldsymbol{\eta}(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4) := \sum_{\substack{k+\ell+n=j_4\\ a+b+c=j_3}}\sum\sum \frac{\boldsymbol{\beta}(k,\ell,n)\boldsymbol{\beta}(a,b,c)}{(2+j_2+n+c)!(2+j_1+\ell+b)!}, \tag{6.29}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{S}(j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4) := 2\boldsymbol{\gamma}(j_1,...,j_4)+2\boldsymbol{\gamma}(j_1,j_2,j_4,j_3)+\boldsymbol{\eta}(j_1,...,j_4). \tag{6.30}$$

Then we obtain

Proposition 6.3. *The quantity defined by* (6.21) *equals*

$$\mathcal{M}_D^4(i_1,...,j_4;\mathscr{F}) = 16\frac{\mathscr{F}(0,...,0)\mathfrak{S}(j_1,...,j_4)}{(\log L)^{4-(j_1+...j_4)}}+O\left(\frac{1}{(\log L)^{5-(j_1+...+j_4)}}\right),$$

where $\mathfrak{S}(j_1,...,j_4)$ *is defined by* (6.30).

In order to finalize our computation, we have

Lemma 6.4. *The value of* $\mathscr{F}(s,z_1,...,z_4)$ *at* $(0,...,0)$ *is* $\zeta(2)$.

Proof. Examining (6.18) and (6.19) and we see that

$$\mathscr{F}(0,0,0,0,0) = \mathscr{P}(0,0,0,0,0).$$

To prove the result, it is enough to show that for each prime $\mathfrak{p}$, we have

$$\mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,...,0) = \left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

By (6.18), the local factor at $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathscr{P}(s,z_1,...,z_4)$ is given by the local factor of $\mathcal{L}(s,z_1,...,z_4)$ divided by the one of the right handside involving the zeta functions. Since we evaluate at $(0,...,0)$, we obtain

$$\mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,...,0) = \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,...,0)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{-4}.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,...,0)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right) = \left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^4.$$

Writing μ_2 for $\mu_{2,0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,...,0) &= \sum_{\substack{0\leqslant d+\ell_i\leqslant 2\\ \ell_1\ell_2=0}}\sum \frac{\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^{d+\ell_1})\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^{d+\ell_2})f(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell_1};1)f(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell_2};1)}{\mathfrak{p}^{d+\ell_1+\ell_2}}\\ &= 2\sum_{0\leqslant d+\ell\leqslant 2}\sum \frac{\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell+d})\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^d)f(\mathfrak{p}^\ell;1)}{\mathfrak{p}^{d+\ell}} - \sum_{0\leqslant d\leqslant 2}\frac{\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^d)^2}{\mathfrak{p}^d}.\end{aligned}$$

Using (3.9) and (6.8) (with $\nu=0$), we obtain that this expression is

$$1+\frac{4}{\mathfrak{p}}-\frac{8}{\mathfrak{p}+1}-\frac{8}{\mathfrak{p}(\mathfrak{p}+1)}+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}+\frac{2}{\mathfrak{p}}\left(\frac{3-\mathfrak{p}^{-1}}{1+\mathfrak{p}}\right).$$

Finally, multiplying by $(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-2}) = \mathfrak{p}^{-2}(\mathfrak{p}^2-1)$ leads to the desired factor $(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1})^4$. □

We now replace $\mathscr{F}(0,...,0)$ by $\zeta(2)$ in Proposition 6.3 and then insert the value of $\mathcal{M}_D^4(j_1,...,j_4)$ in (6.20). Writing $\log q = \lambda^{-1}\log L$, we get

Proposition 6.5. *We have the following asymptotic formula for the diagonal main term appearing in decomposition* (6.9)

$$\mathcal{M}_D^4(q) = 2\sum_{\substack{j_s+j_1+...+j_4=4\\ 0\leqslant j_k\leqslant 2,\ k=1,...,4}}\sum\sum\sum\sum \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{j_s}\mathscr{C}_{j_s,j_1,...,j_4}\mathfrak{S}(j_1,...,j_4)+O_\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\log q}\right). \tag{6.31}$$

6.3. **Evaluation of $\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(q)$.** We proceed in a completely analogous way as in the previous section. First of all, we also restrict the computation to $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ since the dual term gives the same result. We will begin by evaluating the residue (5.39) up to some terms that will not contribute. After that, we will return to (6.11) and find an appropriate expression for a certain Dirichlet series in order to localize the various poles. Finally, we will see that the resulting expression matches perfectly with (6.21) whose value has already been established in Proposition 6.3.

6.3.1. *Computation of the residue of $\mathcal{F}(s,\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ at $s=0$.* We recall that

$$\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)=\sum_{i=0}^{2}\mathrm{Res}_{s=0}\left\{\frac{\mathcal{F}_i(s,\ell_1,\ell_2;q)}{s}\right\}.$$

A very pleasant fact is that $\mathrm{Res}_{s=0}\mathcal{F}_i$ will not contribute in the final asymptotic formula unless $i=2$; the two others will be at most $O_\lambda(\log^{-1}q)$. The heuristic reason is the following : In § 6.3.2, we will express our main term as the z_i-integral in which a certain differential operator (see (6.38)) depending on s,u_1,u_2 and $\log q$ acts on a function. If we look this operator, we remark that for each term, the sum of the order of differentiation plus the power of $\log q$ is 4. If we take in count the residue of $\mathcal{F}_i$ with $i\leqslant 1$, then we just add some lower 'order' terms to (6.38). We therefore focus on $i=2$. By Proposition 5.7 and Lemma 5.6, we see that each part of $\mathcal{F}_2$ is even, so we can take the residue at $s=0$ for each of them separately. We first isolate the polar part in the function A around $s=0$ by writing

$$A(s,u_1,u_2;q)=\frac{q^{u_1+u_2}\mathscr{A}(s,u_1,u_2)}{(2s+u_1+u_2)(2s-u_1-u_2)}, \tag{6.32}$$

where $\mathscr{A}(s,u_1,u_2)$ is entire and does not vanish in a neighborhood of $\Re e(s)=\Re e(u_i)=0$. We now easily get

$$\partial_{u_1=0}A=\frac{\mathscr{A}(s,0,0)}{4s^2}\left(\log q+\frac{\mathscr{A}_{u_1}(s,0,0)}{\mathscr{A}(s,0,0)}\right),$$

and

$$\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}A=\frac{\mathscr{A}(s,0,0)}{4s^2}\left\{\left(\log q+\frac{\mathscr{A}_{u_1}(s,0,0)}{\mathscr{A}(s,0,0)}\right)\left(\log q+\frac{\mathscr{A}_{u_2}(s,0,0)}{\mathscr{A}(s,0,0)}\right)\right.$$
$$\left.+\ \frac{1}{2s^2}+\partial_{u_2=0}\left(\frac{\mathscr{A}_{u_1}(s,u_1,0)}{\mathscr{A}(s,u_1,0)}\right)\right\}.$$

Writing

$$\begin{aligned}B_0(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)&:=B(s,0,0;\ell_1,\ell_2),\\ B_1(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)&:=(\partial_{u_1=0}+\partial_{u_2=0})B(s,u_1,u_2;\ell_1,\ell_2),\\ B_2(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)&:=\partial^2_{u_1u_2=0}B(s,u_1,u_2;\ell_1,\ell_2),\end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

we infer that the contribution of $\mathcal{F}_2(s,\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ to our final asymptotic formula comes from the residue at $s=0$ of the following quantity (in fact we drop out all factors where we derive $\mathscr{A}$)

$$\frac{\mathscr{A}(s,0,0)}{4s^3}\left\{B_0(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)\left(\frac{1}{2s^2}+\log^2 q\right)+B_1(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)\log q+B_2(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)\right\}, \tag{6.34}$$

which is

$$\frac{\mathscr{A}(0,0,0)}{8}\left(\frac{1}{4!}\partial^4_{s=0}B_0(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)+\log^2(q)\partial^2_{s=0}B_0(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)\right.$$
$$\left.+\log(q)\partial^2_{s=0}B_1(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)+\partial^2_{s=0}B_2(s;\ell_1,\ell_2)\right)+E(\ell_1,\ell_2;q), \tag{6.35}$$

where the error term $E(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ is such that when we average it over the ℓ_i,d in (6.11), we obtain $O((\log q)^{-1})$ (here $E(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ contains all term where $\mathscr{A}$ is derived at least one time). By construction, we have $\mathscr{A}(0,0,0)=2\zeta(0)\zeta(2)^{-1}=-\zeta(2)^{-1}$ (see (5.35), (5.37),

(6.32)) and thus, taking into account the error coming from $\mathcal{F}_i$, $i \leqslant 1$, we can summarize all previous computation in

Proposition 6.6. *Let $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ defined by (5.39). Then we have the formula*

$$\mathcal{OD}^{MT}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q) = c(\ell_1,\ell_2;q) + \mathcal{E}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q),$$

where $c(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ is given by (6.35) with $\mathscr{A}(0,0,0) = -\zeta(2)^{-1}$ and the error term $\mathcal{E}(\ell_1,\ell_2;q)$ is such that when we average it over ℓ_i in (6.11), we get $O_\lambda((\log q)^{-1})$.

6.3.2. *Averaging over ℓ_i.* We come back to (6.11) and insert the quantity $\mathcal{OD}^{MT}$ given by (6.35). We can remove the primality condition $(k\ell_1\ell_2,q)=1$ for an acceptable error term and we obtain our off-diagonal main term

$$\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(q) = \frac{-1}{8\zeta(2)(2\pi i)^4}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\prod_{i=1}^4 L^{z_i}\widehat{P_L}(z_i)\mathfrak{D}_q^4\cdot\{\mathcal{L}(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4)\} \times \frac{dz_4dz_3dz_2dz_1}{z_4z_3z_2z_1}, \tag{6.36}$$

where $\mathcal{L}(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4)$ is the Dirichlet series defined by (recall Definitions (6.33) and (5.35))

$$\mathcal{L}(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4) := \sum_{k\geqslant 1}\sum_{(\ell_1,\ell_2)=1}\sum \frac{\mu_{2,z_1-z_2}(k\ell_1)\mu_{2,z_3-z_4}(k\ell_2)\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_1;s,u_1,u_2)\boldsymbol{\delta}(\ell_2;s,u_2,u_1)}{k^{1+z_1+z_3}\ell_1^{1+z_1}\ell_2^{1+z_3}}, \tag{6.37}$$

and $\mathfrak{D}_q^4$ is an order four differential operator given by

$$\mathfrak{D}_q^4 := \left(\frac{1}{4!}\partial_s^4 + \log^2(q)\partial_s^2 + \log(q)\partial_s^2(\partial_{u_1}+\partial_{u_2}) + \partial_s^2\partial_{u_1u_2}^2\right)\Big|_{s=u_i=0}. \tag{6.38}$$

It is very important now to have an adequate expression for (6.37) in a way to locate the poles and their orders for future contour shift in the z_i-integrals. The classical method, as in Section 6.2, is to compute for each prime $\mathfrak{p}$ the local factor $\mathcal{L}_\mathfrak{p}$ at $\mathfrak{p}$. This is a quite tedious calculation, but is not difficult since all arithmetic functions are cubefree and we already computed their values on prime powers (see (6.8), (5.33) and (5.34)). We do not want to figure out all details, but by close examination of the polar part in the local factor, we can conclude that $\mathcal{L}$ admits the following factorization

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathscr{P}(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4)\prod_{i=1}^2\left(\frac{\zeta(1+z_i+z_3)\zeta(1+z_i+z_4)}{\zeta(1+s+z_i+u_2)\zeta(1-s+z_i+u_1)}\right) \times \prod_{i=3}^4\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(1+s+z_i+u_1)\zeta(1-s+z_i+u_2)}\right), \tag{6.39}$$

where $\mathscr{P}$ is an Euler product absolutely convergent in a "good" neighborhood of the domain of holomorphy of the above product. Furthermore, if we factorize now the poles of the zeta functions, then we can rewrite (6.39) as

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathscr{F}(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4)Q(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4), \tag{6.40}$$

where $\mathscr{F}$ is an entire function in a neighborhood of $(0,...,0)$ which does not vanish and $Q\in\mathbb{C}(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4)$ is the rational function defined by

$$Q(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4) := (z_1+s+u_2)(z_1-s+u_1)(z_2+s+u_2)(z_2-s+u_1) \times \frac{(z_3+s+u_1)(z_3-s+u_2)(z_4+s+u_1)(z_4-s+u_2)}{(z_1+z_3)(z_1+z_4)(z_2+z_3)(z_2+z_4)}. \tag{6.41}$$

Using our classical argument concerning the derivatives of $\mathscr{F}$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(q) &= \frac{-1}{8\zeta(2)(2\pi i)^4}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\int_{(2)}\prod_{i=1}^4 L^{z_i}\widehat{P_L}(z_i)\mathscr{F}(0,0,0,z_1,...,z_4)\\ &\quad\times\mathfrak{D}_q^4\cdot\{Q(s,u_1,u_2,z_1,...,z_4)\}\frac{dz_4dz_3dz_2dz_1}{z_4z_3z_2z_1}+O_\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\log q}\right)\\ &=:\sum_{i=1}^4\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(i;q)+O_\lambda\left(\frac{1}{\log q}\right),\end{aligned}$$

where this decomposition takes care of the separation of the operator $\mathfrak{D}_q^4$ in (6.38). We will compute each term separately.

6.3.3. *Computation of* $\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(q)$. We compute in this last section $\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(i;q)$ for $i=1,...,4$. Fortunately, we will see that these main terms can be expressed as the same integral as (6.22), which has already been computed. We start with $i=1$; first of all, we have

$$\frac{1}{4!}\partial_{s=0}^4(\mathrm{Num}(Q(s,0,0,z_1,...,z_4)))=\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+...+i_4+j_4=4\\0\leqslant i_k,j_k\leqslant 1}}\sum\sum\sum\sum\mathscr{B}_{i_1,j_1,...,i_4,j_4}\prod_{k=1}^4 z_k^{2-i_k-j_k},$$

where

$$\mathscr{B}_{i_1,j_1,...,i_4,j_4}=\frac{(-1)^{j_1+...+j_4}}{i_1!j_1!\cdots i_4!j_4!}. \tag{6.42}$$

Hence, writing explicitly $\widehat{P_L}(z_i)$ and we obtain

$$\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(1;q)=\frac{-2}{\zeta(2)}\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+...+i_4+j_4=4\\0\leqslant i_k,j_k\leqslant 1}}\sum\sum\sum\sum\mathscr{B}_{i_1,j_1,...,i_4,j_4}\mathcal{M}(i_1+j_1,...,i_4+j_4;\mathscr{F}), \tag{6.43}$$

where for any $(a,b,c,d)\in\mathbb{N}^4$, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(a,b,c,d;\mathscr{F}):=\frac{(\log L)^{-8}}{(2\pi i)^4}\int_{(*)}\int_{(*)}\int_{(*)}\int_{(*)}&\frac{L^{z_1+z_2+z_3+z_4}\mathscr{F}(0,0,0,z_1,...,z_4)}{(z_1+z_3)(z_1+z_4)(z_2+z_3)(z_2+z_4)}\\ &\times\frac{dz_4dz_3dz_2dz_1}{z_1^{1+a}z_2^{1+b}z_3^{1+c}z_4^{1+d}}.\end{aligned}$$

We remark that this integral is exactly the expression $\mathcal{M}_D^4(j_1,...,j_4;\mathscr{F})$ in (6.22) (modulo the factor 16), then its value is given by (see Proposition 6.3)

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}(i_1+j_1,...,i_4+j_4;\mathscr{F})&=\mathscr{F}(0,...,0)\mathfrak{S}(i_1+j_1,i_2+j_2,i_3+j_3,i_4+j_4)\\ &\times(\log L)^{-4+\sum_{k=1}^4 i_k+j_k}+O\left((\log L)^{-5+\sum_{k=1}^4 i_k+j_k}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{6.44}$$

where $\mathfrak{S}$ is given by (6.30).
Let $\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(2;q)$ denotes the contribution of $\log^2(q)\partial_{s=0}^2$, then we get

$$\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(2;q)=\frac{-4}{\zeta(2)}\log^2(q)\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+...+i_4+j_4=2\\0\leqslant i_k,j_k\leqslant 1}}\sum\sum\sum\sum\mathscr{B}_{i_1,j_1,...,i_4,j_4}\mathcal{M}(i_1+j_1,...,i_4+j_4;\mathscr{F}). \tag{6.45}$$

We now compute the part with $\log(q)\partial_s^2(\partial_{u_1}+\partial_{u_2})|_{s=u_i=0}$. We remark that the action of $(\partial_{u_1}+\partial_{u_2})|_{u_i=0}$ consists of a sum of eight terms in which one of the eight factors is missing. We have therefore

$$\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(3;q)=\frac{-4}{\zeta(2)}\log(q)\sum_{\ell=1}^4\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+...i_4+j_4=2\\0\leqslant i_k,j_k\leqslant 1\\ i_\ell j_\ell=0}}\sum\sum\sum\sum\sum\mathscr{B}_{i_1,...,j_4}\mathcal{M}^{(\ell)}(i_1+j_1,...,i_4+j_4;\mathscr{F}), \tag{6.46}$$

where $\mathcal{M}^{(\ell)}$ means that we add 1 at the ℓ^{th} component.

Finally, we can focus on the action of $\partial_s^2\partial_{u_1u_2}^2|_{s=u_i=0}$. We see first that $\partial_{u_i=0}^2(\mathrm{NUM}(Q))$ consists of a sum of sixteen terms where there are two missing factors (one indexed by i_n and the other by i_ℓ). It follows that

$$\mathcal{M}_{OD}^4(4;q)=\frac{-4}{\zeta(2)}\sum_{n,\ell=1}^{4}\sum_{\substack{i_1+j_1+\ldots i_4+j_4=2\\0\leqslant i_k,j_k\leqslant 1\\i_n=j_\ell=0}}\mathscr{B}_{i_1,\ldots,j_4}\mathcal{M}^{(n,\ell)}(i_1+j_1,\ldots,i_4+j_4;\mathscr{F}), \tag{6.47}$$

where this time, $\mathcal{M}^{(n,\ell)}$ means that we add 1 to i_n and 1 to j_ℓ. To finalize the calculations, we have

Lemma 6.7. *The value of $\mathscr{F}(0,\ldots,0)$ is given by the infinite product*

$$\mathscr{F}(0,\ldots,0)=\zeta(2)\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(1+2\frac{1+\mathfrak{p}^{-1}}{\mathfrak{p}^2(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1})^3}\right).$$

Proof. As in Lemma 6.4, we have by examining (6.39) and (6.40),

$$\mathscr{F}(0,\ldots,0)=\mathscr{P}(0,\ldots,0)$$

and for each prime $\mathfrak{p}$,

$$\mathscr{P}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,\ldots,0)=\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,\ldots,0)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^{-4}.$$

By (6.37), we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,\ldots,0)&=\sum_{\substack{0\leqslant k+\ell_i\leqslant 2\\ \ell_1\ell_2=0}}\sum\frac{\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^{k+\ell_1})\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^{k+\ell_2})\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell_1};0,0,0)\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^{\ell_2};0,0,0)}{\mathfrak{p}^{k+\ell_1+\ell_2}}\\&=2\sum_{0\leqslant k+\ell\leqslant 2}\sum\frac{\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^{k+\ell})\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^k)\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^\ell;0,0,0)}{\mathfrak{p}^{k+\ell}}-\sum_{0\leqslant k\leqslant 2}\frac{\mu_2(\mathfrak{p}^k)^2}{\mathfrak{p}^k},\end{aligned}$$

with (see (5.33) and (5.34))

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p};0,0,0)=2\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right)^{-1}\text{ and }\boldsymbol{\delta}(\mathfrak{p}^2;0,0,0)=4\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right)^{-1}.$$

Hence (recall (6.8))

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{p}}(0,\ldots,0)&=1+\frac{4}{\mathfrak{p}}+\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}-\frac{8}{\mathfrak{p}}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right)^{-1}\\&=\left\{1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^4}-\frac{4}{\mathfrak{p}}-\frac{4}{\mathfrak{p}^3}+\frac{8}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right\}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right)^{-1}\\&=\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}}\right)^4+\frac{2}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right)\right\}\left(1-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{p}^2}\right)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Multiplying by the factor $(1-\mathfrak{p}^{-1})^{-4}$ finishes the proof. □

References

[Ary15] Farzad Aryan. On binary and quadratic divisor problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv :1502.06067*, 2015.

[BFK+14] Valentin Blomer, Étienne Fouvry, Emmanuel Kowalski, Philippe Michel, and Djordje Milićević. *On moments of twisted L-functions. arXiv preprint arXiv :1411.4467*, 2014.

[BFK+16] Valentin Blomer, Étienne Fouvry, Emmanuel Kowalski, Philippe Michel, and Djordje Milićević. *Some applications of smooth bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums. arXiv preprint arXiv :1604.07664*, 2016.

[BHM07] Valentin Blomer, Gergely Harcos, and Philippe Michel. *Bounds for modular L-functions in the level aspect*. In *Annales scientifiques de l'Ecole normale supérieure*, volume 40, pages 697–740, 2007.

[BHMM07] Valentin Blomer, Gergely Harcos, Philippe Michel, and Z. Mao. *A Burgess-like subconvex bound for twisted L-functions*. In *Forum Mathematicum*, volume 19, pages 61–105, 2007.

[BM15] Valentin Blomer and Djordje Milićević. *The second moment of twisted modular L-functions*. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 25(2) :453–516, 2015.

[Del71] Pierre Deligne. *Formes modulaires et representations ℓ-adiques*. In *Séminaire Bourbaki vol. 1968/69 Exposés 347-363*, pages 139–172. Springer, 1971.

[DFI93] William Duke, John Friedlander, and Henryk Iwaniec. *Bounds for automorphic L-functions*. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 112(1) :1–8, 1993.

[DFI94a] William Duke, John Friedlander, and Henryk Iwaniec. A quadratic divisor problem. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 115(1) :209–217, 1994.

[DFI94b] William Duke, John Friedlander, and Henryk Iwaniec. *Bounds for automorphic L-functions. II*. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 115(1) :219–239, 1994.

[DI82] J.-M. Deshouillers and Henryk Iwaniec. Kloosterman sums and fourier coefficients of cusp forms. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 70(2) :219–288, 1982.

[Dra15] Sary Drappeau. *Sums of Kloosterman sums in arithmetic progressions, and the error term in the dispersion method. arXiv preprint arXiv :1504.05549*, 2015.

[DS74] Pierre Deligne and Jean-Pierre Serre. Formes modulaires de poids 1. In *Annales scientifiques de l'École Normale Supérieure*, volume 7, pages 507–530, 1974.

[FK13] Eberhard Freitag and Reinhardt Kiehl. *Etale cohomology and the Weil conjecture*, volume 13. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

[FKM$^+$14] Étienne Fouvry, Emmanuel Kowalski, Philippe Michel, et al. Algebraic trace functions over the primes. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 163(9) :1683–1736, 2014.

[GJ79] Stephen Gelbart and Hervé Jacquet. *Forms of* GL_2 *from the analytic point of view*. In *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math*, volume 33, pages 213–251, 1979.

[Hou15] Bob Hough. *The angle of large values of L-functions. arXiv preprint arXiv :1304.1241v3*, 2015.

[IK04] Henryk Iwaniec and Emmanuel Kowalski. *Analytic number theory*, volume 53. American Mathematical Society Providence, RI, 2004.

[IS99] Henryk Iwaniec and Peter Sarnak. *Dirichlet L-functions at the central point. Number theory in progress*, 2 :941–952, 1999.

[Iwa97] Henryk Iwaniec. *Topics in classical automorphic forms*, volume 17. American Mathematical Soc., 1997.

[Iwa02] Henryk Iwaniec. *Spectral methods of automorphic forms*, volume 53. American Mathematical Society Providence, 2002.

[Jut87] Jutila. *Lectures on a Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums*, volume 80. Springer Berlin, 1987.

[K$^+$03] Henry Kim et al. *Functoriality for the exterior square of* GL_4 *and the symmetric fourth of* GL_2. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 16(1) :139–183, 2003.

[Kat88] Nicholas M Katz. *Gauss Sums, Kloosterman Sums, and Monodromy Groups.(AM-116)*, volume 116. Princeton University Press, 1988.

[KMS15] Emmanuel Kowalski, Philippe Michel, and Will Sawin. *Bilinear forms with Kloosterman sums and applications. arXiv preprint arXiv :1511.01636*, 2015.

[KMV00] Emmanuel Kowalski, Philippe Michel, and Jeffrey VanderKam. *Mollification of the fourth moment of automorphic L-functions and arithmetic applications*. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 142(1) :95–151, 2000.

[Mic06] Philippe Michel. *Analytic number theory and families of automorphic L-functions*. 2006.

[Mot97] Yoichi Motohashi. *Spectral theory of the Riemann zeta-function*, volume 127. Cambridge University Press, 1997.

[Obe12] Fritz Oberhettinger. *Tables of Mellin transforms*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

[SZ16] I.E. Shparlinski and T.P. Zhang. *Cancellations Amongst Kloosterman Sums. arXiv preprint arXiv :1601.05123*, 2016.

[Top15] Berke Topacogullari. On a certain additive divisor problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv :1512.05770*, 2015.

[You11] Matthew P Young. *The fourth moment of Dirichlet L-functions*. *Annals of mathematics*, 173(1) :1–50, 2011.